

All Projects

Will the City provide the proposed path pavement structure or will a Pavement Design Report need to be developed?

The city typical section can be utilized.

Will the Geotech report (provided by the city's Geotech consultant) include recommendations for structure foundations or will the consultant will provide standard borings and report for pavement recommendations. Any structural or geotech analysis or borings required for structure design will be part of proposal and consultant team tasks.

Under Services Provided by the City in item 9. Mailing lists and labels of property owners – is this meant to be a mailing list that we will use for

Correct

you have Landscaping Plans in the Path Plans section of the special provisions. What level of landscaping are you anticipating for the paths?

Grading and seed.

Plat language to be included for all rfps.

(1) The CONTRACTOR shall prepare a Transportation Project Plat as defined in the MANUAL under Chapter 12, except as noted under (3), (6),

The CONTRACTOR shall include in the right of way plat storm water drainage easements outside of the proposed right of way if required.

(2) Title searches shall be provided by the CITY.

(3) Right of way descriptions shall be provided by the CONTRACTOR for all individual parcels of all land interests to be acquired under the approved Transportation Project Plat for the PROJECT. Descriptions for each Fee, PLE (Permanent Limited Easement) and HE (Highway Easement) parcel area shall contain retraceable metes and bounds descriptions along with being in accordance with the provisions as set forth in the MANUAL under Chapter 12-10-20.1, or in the case of platted property by suitable reference to the platted data. The description of each of the above land interests shall be an individual metes and bounds traverse of the right of way from a recovered U.S. Public Land Survey Corner (USPLSC) to, and through the entire land interest tract. TLE (Temporary Limited Easement Areas shall be described in accordance with the provisions as set forth in the MANUAL under Chapter 12-10-20.1.

(4) The CONTRACTOR shall prepare the descriptions using Microsoft Word.

(5) The CONTRACTOR shall field locate and temporarily mark the new right of way boundaries and easements in a manner which will facilitate the appraisal by others of all affected parcels.

(6) The CONTRACTOR shall monument the new and existing right of way boundaries in accordance with the procedures outlined in the MANUAL. All changes in direction and points of curvature along the external boundary of the transportation corridor shall be monumented and any existing monumentation disturbed or destroyed during construction replaced in their original positions.

(7) The CONTRACTOR shall show all utility easement locations on the Transportation Project Plat along with referencing the source document number which applies to the utility easement.

(8) The CONTRACTOR shall be required to locate all existing survey boundary monumentation that exists along the perimeter of the portion of any corridor subject to the Transportation Project Plat. The CONTRACTOR shall also be required to locate all monumentation necessary to establish any property line that will bound an anticipated fee acquisition area. All monumentation used for the establishment of right-of-ways and parcel boundaries shall be shown and type of monument noted on the Transportation Project Plat. This all being in addition to the location of existing monumentation required as defined in the MANUAL under Chapter 12.

(9) For Fee, PLE and HE Acquisition areas, the CONTRACTOR shall determine, show and dimension all of the boundary lines comprising each individual fee, PLE or HE parcel shown on the Transportation Project Plat. All corners of the fee parcels that lie along the final external limits of the final transportation corridor shall be monumented with the appropriate type monument as provided for as defined in the MANUAL under Chapter 12. The above requirements for legal descriptions shall apply to each Fee, PLE or HE Acquisition parcel.

Troy Dr & Old Middleton Rd

1. Appears as stated from the scope that this project is all the way through preliminary design. In the cost section, it states Final
The contract runs through final design. Final design is if authorized, such that if after preliminary design, the City may decide to halt work on the project.
 2. Are there any funding sources being used for design or construction other than City of Madison funds?
Design funding is City only. Construction funds may use a combination of City & Federal/State sources.
 3. Do you anticipate storm water, sanitary and watermain design for both locations at Troy Drive and Old Middleton Road?
Storm sewer work will be required. Please add an if authorized for sanitary & water design at both locations.
 4. Section 4f is mentioned in the scope. What lands do you believe to be 4f?
This is presumed to have no 4f needs
 5. Is this project listed on any screening list for Archaeological and Historical?
Unknown at this time. Will require analysis to determine
 6. Wetland assessment is included in the scope. Where do you feel there are wetlands present on Troy Drive and Old Middleton Road?
Unknown at this time. Will require analysis to determine
 7. Under the Locating Section G of the scope, it states that the City requests multiple design alternatives. In Section K and L it states two alternatives for the underpasses. Can you clarify how many you are requesting?
As preliminary design progresses, some adjustments or alignment changes might be looked at.
 8. At Troy Drive there is an existing culvert underpass. Is it the intention of the City to construct a new underpass at a new location or rehabilitate the existing underpass?
It is anticipated to replace the underpass at this location
 9. Page 1 of the RFP states construction year to be determined. Section R of the scope states construction year to be Spring 2021. Can you clarify the construction time?
We would like to have the project tracked to be able to build in 2021 if possible. Construction funds have not been locked in at this point.
- We assume City is planning to apply for Federal funding for construction that would then be administered through WisDOT. When will the City likely request program funding? Is there an initial construction estimate for the same scope as this RFP?
- The City will be looking for Federal/State sources. These have not been locked in yet. WiDOT administration should be assumed.
- Is it the intent to replace the pedestrian tunnel at Troy Dr. at or near its current location and not make roadway improvements?
- Yes, Troy Dr will be replaced at or near its current location
- Will the existing tunnel west of the proposed location be modified as part of this project?
- Yes, for Old Middleton, the existing tunnel at Knoches will be abandoned/filled.

Autumn Ridge Path

1. Page 1 of the RFP states that the City is pursuing an alternative analysis and preliminary design of the path. Section L is word reference to completion of final plans. The cost section also provides for costing Final Plans and PSE if authorized. Can you clarify?

The contract runs through final design. Final design is if authorized, such that if after preliminary design, the City may proceed.

2. Are there any funding sources being used for design or construction other than City of Madison funds?

Design funding is City only. Construction funds may use a combination of City & Federal/State sources.

3. Page 1 of the RFP states construction year to be determined. Section R of the scope states construction year to be Spring 2024. Can you clarify the construction time?

We would like to have the project tracked to be able to build in 2023 if possible. Construction funds have not been confirmed.

4. Do you anticipate the bridge over Highway 30 to be a prefabricated bridge?

Prefab is a likely option. Another option may be girders. It is unknown at this time.

5. What do you anticipate to be the wetland delineation limits for the path?

unknown at this time.

1. The south end of the proposed path appears to connect to an existing path that is outside the eastern limits of Heistand Park. Section 4f and 6f Evaluations are listed in the scope of services. Were those evaluations anticipated for that park or are they for some other property?

They were intended for Heistand park. Our intention is to utilize those similar to they do now and minimize change.

2. The list of plan details required includes a storm sewer plan. Is storm sewer anticipated to be needed along this new path?

unknown at this time. Perhaps small runs draining local areas.

3. The RFP states that the level of environmental documentation will be an Environmental Assessment. Is that known or is the document type to be determined?

TBD

4. What type of landscaping should be assumed for the project?

Assumption is grading & seed. Trees, shrubs, decorative plantings are not included.

5. Since no plat is included, is all required right-of-way available for the project?

Plats not used is an error. See language on "All" worksheet

6. If no plat is required, are items K. (3) and (4) required that involve temporary marking of existing right-of-way and tying sur section corners, etc. for the preparation of plats and legal descriptions?

Plats not used is an error. See language on "All" worksheet

7. Will this project include oversight by WisDOT Local Program Management staff?

Yes

8. There are two sign structures along Highway 30 in proximity to where the proposed overpass would go. Will relocating the structures be part of this scope?

It is possible that these structures may need replacing. This should be included in proposal.

9. Many of our previous local program bike trail projects with the DOT have been exempt from post construction storm water under NR 151.12 (2) d. Will the city want to proceed with this exemption?

Yes, the City will proceed with the exemption in accordance with NR 151.12 (2)d.

Garver Path

1. Page 1 of the RFP states that the City is pursuing an alternative analysis and preliminary design of the path. Section L is worded in reference to completion of final plans. The cost section also provides for costing Final Plans and PSE if authorized. Can you clarify if this is a preliminary analysis and 30% conceptual design or all the way through final design? It is difficult to put a cost for final design if it is not included in the scope.

The contract runs through final design. Final design is if authorized, such that if after preliminary design, the City may decide to halt work on the project.

2. Are there any funding sources being used for design or construction other than City of Madison funds?

Design funding is City only. Construction funds may use a combination of City & Federal/State sources.

3. Page 1 of the RFP states construction year to be determined. Section R of the scope states construction year to be Spring 2021. Can you clarify the construction time?

We would like to have the project tracked to be able to build in 2021 if possible. Construction funds have not been locked in at this point.

4. Do you anticipate the bridges over Starkweather Creek to be prefabricated?

Yes

5. It appears from the map provided that the trail will require a bridge near Ivy Street. There is an existing bridge in this location. Is this existing bridge to be replaced?

Yes

6. According to the RFP Special Provisions, Section D (1) it states that the project crosses the Wisconsin & Southern Railroad. The map showing the project location shows the project parallel to the Wisconsin Southern Railroad but not crossing it. Can you clarify this?

It is anticipated that the project will tie into the existing crossing and not require any modification to the crossing. Coordination with WSOR will be required to work near the RR

7. What do you anticipate to be the wetland delineation limits for the path?

Unknown at this time

1. Is the existing ped/bike bridge to the east of Ivy Street to be reconstructed, or is this part of the Alternatives Analysis?

Yes, reconstructed

2. Are the existing paths within Sherry Park to be removed, considered for incorporation into the proposed path alignment, or is this also part of the Alternatives Analysis? There is an existing path connection from Leon St to Ivy Street, but the proposed layout shown in the RFP looks to create a more-direct east-west connection.

Yes this is part of Alt analysis.

We assume City is planning to apply for Federal funding for construction that would then be administered through WisDOT. When will the City likely request program funding? Is there an initial construction estimate for the same scope as this RFP?

TAP program funds have already been applied for.

Will Garver Mill Phase 1 ESA be available for inclusion to documents? What is the status of any identified soil contamination at the site?

Does the City intend that the wetland crossing bridge span all of the O B Sherry Park wetlands?

As much as necessary to provide a connection to Milwaukee St

Is it the intent for path alignment from Garver Mill continue north and west along the parcel limits?

The intent is shown in the map included

Does the City intend to widen the existing RR crossing, or will it remain as it is?

It is anticipated that the project will tie into the existing crossing and not require any modification to the crossing. Coordination with WSOR will be required to work near the RR

1. There are existing path sections between Dawes, Ivy, and Leon Streets that are in the same location as some of the proposed path sections shown on the map in the RFP. There is also an existing bridge over Starkweather Creek off the end of Ivy Streets. Is this project intended to replace those facilities or match into them?

The alt analysis will determine exact locations of path and structures. We anticipate two crossings of the creek and one wetland bridge up to Milwaukee St. The St structure will be replaced as part of this.

2. The list of plan details required includes a storm sewer plan. Is storm sewer anticipated to be needed along this new bike path?

unknown at this time. Perhaps small runs draining local areas.

3. The RFP states that the level of environmental documentation will be an Environmental Assessment. Is that known or is the document type to be determined?

TBD

4. What type of landscaping should be assumed for the project?

Assumption is grading & seed. Trees, shrubs, decorative plantings are not included.

5. Since no plat is included, is all required right-of-way available for the project?

Plats not used is an error. See language on "All" worksheet

6. If no plat is required, are items K. (3) and (4) required that involve temporary marking of existing right-of-way and tying surveys into section corners, etc. for the preparation of plats and legal descriptions?

Plats not used is an error. See language on "All" worksheet

7. Will this project include oversight by WisDOT Local Program Management staff?

Yes

8. Many of our previous local program bike trail projects with the DOT have been exempt from post construction storm water standards under NR 151.12 (2) d. Will the city want to proceed with this exemption?

Yes, the City will proceed with the exemption in accordance with NR 151.12 (2)d.