Municipal Court

Budget Overivew

Agency Budget by Fund

| | 2018 Actual | 2019 Adopted | 2019 Actual | 2020 Adopted | 2021 C2C | 2021 Request |
|---------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| General | 239,992 | 55,494 | 124,414 | 223,501 | 236,824 | 236,824 |
| TOTAL | \$ 239.992 | \$ 55.494 | \$ 124.414 | \$ 223.501 | \$ 236.824 | \$ 236.824 |

Function: General Government

Agency Budget by Service

| | 201 | L8 Actuai | 2019 Adopte | 2019 Actual | 2020 Adopted | 2021 C2C | 2021 Request |
|----------------|-----|-----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| Court Services | | 239,992 | 55,494 | 124,414 | 223,501 | 236,824 | 236,824 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 239,992 | \$ 55,494 | \$ 124,414 | \$ 223,501 | 236,824 | 236,824 |

Agency Budget by Major-Revenue

| | 20 | 018 Actual | 2019 Adopted | 2019 Actuals | 2020 Adopted | 2021 C2C | 2021 Request |
|----------------------|----|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Charges For Services | | (452,937) | (580,000) | (493,252) | (430,000) | (430,000) | (430,000) |
| Fine & Forfeiture | | 8,631 | - | 11,575 | - | - | - |
| Misc Revenue | | 203 | - | 323 | = | - | - |
| TOTAL | \$ | (444,103) | \$ (580,000) | \$ (481,354) | \$ (430,000) | (430,000) | \$ (430,000) |

Agency Budget by Major-Expenses

| | 2018 Actual | 2019 Adopted | 2019 Actuals | 2020 Adopted | 2021 C2C | 2021 Request |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| Salaries | 357,368 | 357,535 | 357,695 | 368,085 | 380,037 | 380,037 |
| Benefits | 138,893 | 131,516 | 133,584 | 138,577 | 139,203 | 139,203 |
| Supplies | 22,942 | 23,000 | 30,693 | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 |
| Purchased Services | 73,712 | 122,238 | 82,592 | 122,385 | 123,327 | 123,327 |
| Inter Depart Charges | 91,180 | 1,205 | 1,205 | 1,454 | 1,257 | 1,257 |
| TOTAL | \$ 684.095 | \$ 635,494 | \$ 605,768 | \$ 653,501 | \$ 666,824 | \$ 666,824 |



Municipal Court

Honorable Daniel P. Koval, Judge
City-County Building, Room 203
210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.
Madison, WI 53703
Phone: (608) 264-9282 | Fax: (608) 266-5930
municourt@cityofmadison.com
cityofmadison.com/municipalcourt

memo

To: Mayor Satya

From: Daniel Koval, Municipal Court

Date: July 9, 2020

Subject: 2021 Operating Budget: Transmittal Memo

2021 Operating Request: Major Goals

Major Goals

The mission of the Municipal Court is to provide an independent and neutral forum for resolution of alleged ordinance violations where the penalty includes primarily a forfeiture.

COVID Response & Recovery

The Municipal Court will use all reasonable efforts to conduct proceedings remotely, and schedule inperson proceedings in a manner that provides an environment for social distancing. We are able to propose a reduction in overtime hours that will provide this service without reducing our current level of service.

2021 Request & Equity

The Municipal Court provides a neutral forum for parties to litigate alleged ordinance violations and considers racial and economic disparities when issuing court orders. The court utilizes restorative justice practices and programs to help reduce disproportionate racial impacts.

2021 Request & Sustainability

Our proposal reduces overtime that is no longer necessary due to decreased caseload and added efficiency achieved by replacing outdated records management software.

Major Changes in 2021 Operating Request

We can justify decreasing our overtime budget by \$11,841 for the reasons stated above, namely, decreased caseload and improved efficiency.

Summary of Reductions

We propose decreasing our overtime budget by \$11,841.

c.c. Deputy Mayors

Budget & Program Evaluation Staff

2021 Operating Budget

Service Budget Proposal

| IDENTIFYING INFORMATION | |
|--|---|
| SELECT YOUR AGENCY: | |
| Municipal Court | ~ |
| SELECT YOUR AGENCY'S SERVICE: | |
| Court Services | |
| SERVICE NUMBER: | |
| 201 | |
| SERVICE DESCRIPTION: | |
| This service handles approximately 30,000 cases per year including traffic, parking, first offense drunk driving, disorderly conduct, trespassing, building code violations, juvenile violations, and truancy. The Municipal Court also holds hearings in the Public Safety Building for those persons held in jail and issues warrants for arrest and inspections. If a forfeiture is not paid, the Municipal Court can suspend a driver's license, hunting or fishing license, issue a warrant for arrest, | |

Part 1: Base Budget Proposal

intercept a tax refund or start a collection action.

BUDGET INFORMATION

| | | 2018 Actual | 2019 Adopted | 2019 Actual | 2020 Adopted | 2021 C2C | 2021 Request |
|------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Виа | get by Fund | | | | | | |
| | General-Net | \$239,992 | \$55,494 | \$124,413 | \$223,501 | \$236,824 | \$236,824 |
| | Other-Expenditures | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Tota | ĺ | \$239,992 | \$55,494 | \$124,413 | \$223,501 | \$236,824 | \$236,824 |
| Виа | lget by Major | | | | | | |
| | Revenue | (\$444,103) | (\$580,000) | (\$481,354) | (\$430,000) | (\$430,000) | (\$430,000) |
| | Personnel | \$496,261 | \$489,051 | \$491,278 | \$506,662 | \$519,240 | \$519,240 |
| | Non-Personnel | \$96,654 | \$145,238 | \$113,284 | \$145,385 | \$146,327 | \$146,327 |
| | Agency Billings | \$91,180 | \$1,205 | \$1,205 | \$1,454 | \$1,257 | \$1,257 |
| Tota | la | \$239,992 | \$55,494 | \$124,413 | \$223,501 | \$236,824 | \$236,824 |
| | FTEs | | 5.00 | | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |

PRIORITY

Citywide Element Effective Government

Describe how this service advances the Citywide Element:

The court provides an impartial forum for hearing cases brought by the City for violations of the Madison General Ordinances. The Court uses restorative practices to effect positive change with the individuals charged with violations and the community as a whole.

ACTIVITIES PERFORMED BY THIS SERVICE

| Activity | % of Effort | Description |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Court Appearances, Motions and Trials | 50 | Provide a neutral forum for hearing ordinance violation cases. |
| Court Administration | 50 | Respond to and manage emails, letters and other forms of communication, court documents, payment plan requests, reopening requests, indigence hearings, and other court matters. |

Insert item

SERVICE BUDGET CHANGES

Service Impact

What is the proposed change to the service's budget from cost to continue to agency request?

None

| | | he proposed funding ch | anges? | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|----|
| we will maintai | n our current level of s | ervice. | | | |
| sonnel-Permane | nt Positions | | | | |
| Are you propo | sing an allocation cha | ange to the FTEs for th | nis service? No | • | |
| | Tuno | Fund | Amount | Description | |
| | <i>Type</i> Perm Wages | Fund | Amount | Description | |
| | Benefits | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Evalain the accu | Total Imptions behind the all | location change | \$0 | | |
| N/A | imptions bening the an | ocation change. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | ification behind the all | ocation change? | | | |
| N/A | | | | | |
| onnel-Other Perso | onnel Spending | | | | |
| | | el spending for non-ann | ualized pay? No | • | |
| | Tuno | Fund | Amount | Description | |
| | <i>Type</i> Overtime | Fund | Amount | Description Reduce overtime costs | |
| | Premium Pay | | | | |
| | Hourly | | | | |
| | Total | | \$0 | | |
| Evnlain the assu | umptions behind the re | auested funding | ŞU | | |
| What is the just | ification behind the inc | creased funding? | | | |
| What is the just | ineation bening the me | creased randing: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| enue | | | | | |
| Are you propos | ing a change to the ser | vice's budgeted revenue | e? | | |
| | No 🗸 | | | | |
| Are you propos | ing an increase or a de Select ✓ | crease to the budgeted | revenue? | | |
| | Fund | Major | Amount | Description | |
| | | | | | |
| nsert item Explain the ass | sumptions behind the c | hange to budgeted reve | enue. | | |
| N/A | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| What is the jus | stification behind the p | roposed change? | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| -Personnel | and as as as all all the second | manuscal from the Control | i2 | | |
| Are you reque | sting additional non-pe | rsonnel funding for this | service? | | |
| | Fund | Major | Amount Desc | ription | |
| | | | | | |
| nsert item | | | | | |
| Explain the ass | umptions behind the r | equested funding. | | | |
| 2021 Operati | ng Budget: Agency F | Reuqests | | 32 | 22 |

| N/A | fication behind the increase | ed funding? | |
|--|--|--|---|
| IN/A | | | |
| | | | |
| : Proposed Bu | dget Reduction | | |
| What is 5% of the | agency's net budget? | | \$11,841 |
| What is the propo | sed reduction to this servic | e's budget? | \$11,841 |
| | would change the activities above. Add a separate line | | a result of implementing the funding decrease to this service. List changes by service |
| | Activity | \$Amount | Description |
| Court Administrat | tion performed by Municipa | 11,841 | There is no longer a need for the former level of overtime. |
| Insert item | | | |
| Insert term | Total | \$11,841 | |
| | | | |
| Explain the change | es by major expenditure cat | tegory that your agency w | ould implement as a result of the funding decrease to this service. |
| Name | \$ Amount | | Description |
| Personnel | \$11,841 | Court staff can maintain | our level of service within regular working hours. |
| Non-Personnel | | | |
| Agency Billings | | | |
| | | | |
| Total | \$11,841 | | |
| s the City mandat also involved in pe | ed to perform the activities erforming these activities. | | lain the mandate and mandated service level. If not, are there other local organization vides a neutral setting for resolving alleged City ordinance violations. |
| s the City mandat also involved in pe Yes. The agency r | ed to perform the activities erforming these activities. | ch of government and pro | |
| s the City mandat also involved in pe Yes. The agency r Has this reduction | eed to perform the activities erforming these activities. epresents the judicial branc | ch of government and prov | vides a neutral setting for resolving alleged City ordinance violations. Yes |
| s the City mandat also involved in pe Yes. The agency r Has this reduction | red to perform the activities erforming these activities. epresents the judicial brance been proposed in prior year | ch of government and provers: ars? ating permanent positions | vides a neutral setting for resolving alleged City ordinance violations. Yes |
| s the City mandat also involved in pe yes. The agency re Has this reduction Does the proposed | ted to perform the activities erforming these activities. epresents the judicial brance been proposed in prior year direduction result in eliminal lf yes, what is the decr | ch of government and provers: ars? ating permanent positions ease in FTEs: | vides a neutral setting for resolving alleged City ordinance violations. Yes No V |
| s the City mandat also involved in pe yes. The agency re Has this reduction Does the proposed | ted to perform the activities erforming these activities. epresents the judicial branch been proposed in prior year direduction result in eliminal lf yes, what is the decretion impact other against the decretion impact of the dec | ch of government and provers? eating permanent positions ease in FTEs: gencies (i.e. Fleet Services | vides a neutral setting for resolving alleged City ordinance violations. Yes No V |
| s the City mandat also involved in pe yes. The agency re Has this reduction Does the proposed | ted to perform the activities erforming these activities. epresents the judicial brance been proposed in prior year direduction result in eliminal lf yes, what is the decr | ch of government and provers? eating permanent positions ease in FTEs: gencies (i.e. Fleet Services | vides a neutral setting for resolving alleged City ordinance violations. Yes No V |
| s the City mandated also involved in perfect. The agency related this reduction. Does the proposed Does the proposed | ted to perform the activities erforming these activities. epresents the judicial branch been proposed in prior year direduction result in eliminal lf yes, what is the decretion impact other against the decretion impact of the dec | ch of government and provers? ears? eating permanent positions ease in FTEs: gencies (i.e. Fleet Services | vides a neutral setting for resolving alleged City ordinance violations. Yes No V |
| s the City mandated also involved in perfect. The agency related this reduction. Does the proposed Does the proposed Doescribe why the | ted to perform the activities erforming these activities. epresents the judicial branch been proposed in prior year direction result in eliminary of the decretion impact other against the decretion impact other against the proposed reduction was ch | ch of government and provers? eating permanent positions ease in FTEs: gencies (i.e. Fleet Services) | vides a neutral setting for resolving alleged City ordinance violations. Yes No V |
| s the City mandate also involved in perfect of the agency refers this reduction. Does the proposed Does the Doe | d reduction result in eliminal of reduction impact other against the decr | ch of government and provers? eating permanent positions ease in FTEs: gencies (i.e. Fleet Services to sen. as allowed us the opportunation on the end user of the services to sen. | Yes No No No Inity to reduce the amount of employee overtime. Pervice. How can impacts of this reduction be mitigated? |
| s the City mandate also involved in perfect of the agency refers this reduction. Does the proposed Does the Doe | ted to perform the activities erforming these activities. epresents the judicial branch been proposed in prior year direction result in eliminarily yes, what is the decrification impact other against the figure of the proposed reduction was child and increased efficiency has of the proposed reduction the proposed reduction was child and increased efficiency has of the proposed reduction. | ch of government and provers? eating permanent positions ease in FTEs: gencies (i.e. Fleet Services to sen. as allowed us the opportunation on the end user of the services to sen. | vides a neutral setting for resolving alleged City ordinance violations. Yes No No No Inity to reduce the amount of employee overtime. Pervice. How can impacts of this reduction be mitigated? |