MSA

Project:
MSA Project No.:
Meeting Purpose:
Meeting Organizer:

| Vilas Park Master Plan | Location: | Skype |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15885004 | Date: | Sept. 16, 2020 |
| Draft Plan Review | Time: |  |
| Dan Williams |  |  |

## Attendees:

|  | Name | Affiliation | Email |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boxtimes$ | Kate Kane | Parks Div., City of Madison | kkane@cityofmadison.com |  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Ann Freiwald | Parks Div., City of Madison | AFreiwald@cityofmadison.com |  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Julie Stroick | Planning, City of Madison | jstroick@cityofmadison.com |  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Sean Malloy | Traffic Eng., City of Madison | smalloy@cityofmadison.com |  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Joanna O'Brien | Engineering, City of Madison | jobrien@cityofmadison.com |  |
| $\square$ | Joseph Darcangelo | Dane Co. - Henry Vilas Zoo | darcangelo.joseph@countyofdane.com |  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Stephanie <br> Petersen | UW Arboretum | koberhauser@wisc.edu |  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Dan Williams | MSA | dwilliams@msa-ps.com |  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Dan Schmitt | MSA | dschmitt@msa-ps.com |  |
| Guest Attendees |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | Tim Sobota | Metro Transit | tsobota@cityofmadison.com |  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Jenny Kirchgatter | Zoning, City of Madison | jkirchgatter@cityofmadison.com |  |
| $\square$ | Lori Chalecki | Police Dept., City of Madison | Ichalecki@cityofmadison.com |  |
| $\square$ | Joshua Schmitt | Park Ranger, City of Madison | jschmitt@cityofmadison.com |  |
| $\square$ | Che Stedman | Fire Dept., City of Madison | cstedman@cityofmadison.com |  |

## Action Items:

1. Update Project Scope and Schedule:
a. Project Status:
i. Phase III in-progress - Draft and Final Master Plan
ii. This is the final Interagency Staff Meeting.
2. Open Items:
a. None
3. Discussion: Review Draft Plan, Attached.

THE MEETING DISCUSSION WILL UTILIZE THE CONCEPTBOARD PLATFORM, LINK TO ACCESS THE PAGE
https://app.conceptboard.com/board/m44e-tqq4-p49s-tfsn-809k

## Themes:

a. Mobility and Safety
i. Surrounding neighborhoods generally favor closing Vilas Park Drive to through traffic
b. Environment
i. Lagoons to be maintained in a way that allows for ice skating
c. Community
d. Connectivity
i. Connecting the pathway system to and though Vilas Zoo
ii. Connecting the pathway system to create a "around" the lake pedestrian system
e. A Park for Everyone

Plan Drivers: Areas of the park that are considered big ideas
f. Vilas Park Drive
g. Main Park Shelter
h. Parking
i. Open Space and Active Recreation
j. Playgrounds
k. Lagoon
4. Questions: (Questions from the meeting in Red)
a. CoM Parks
i. Serviceability of plan from a ranger/enforcement standpoint?
ii. Serviceability of plan from an operations standpoint?
iii. ADD
b. Metro Transit
i. Serviceability of stop at south zoo entrance? (Labeled "V" on draft plan)
c. Henry Vilas Zoo
d. CoM Stormwater
i. Review plan for conceptual placement, type and approximate sizing of stormwater facilities needed for the proposed impervious areas?
ii. Existing Wetland west of parking/entrance, with labeled with " 1 " - could this be modified and enlarged to be stromwater treatment for parking lot?
e. CoM Police and Fire/EMS
i. Review of emergency access requirements for shelter, playground, and other features?
f. CoM Traffic
i. Comments on Entry at Drake St. at Campbell St.?
ii. Any recommendations of future analysis to propose in report text?
g. CoM Planning
i. Trails and connectivity (including traffic and transit)
h. CoM Zoning
i. What are applicable shoreland setbacks? Is design in compliance with MGO for PR zoning?
i. DNR and ACOE (add questions to be presented to DNR, DNR staff not present at meeting)

## 5. Next Steps:

a. Draft Master Plan Review - RRG, CPAG and DAT - September
b. Draft Master Plan Report - September/October
c. Final Maser Plan Review - RRG, CPAG and CIM - November/December
d. Final Master Plan Report at BPC - December January 2021

## Meeting Notes:

- Conceptboard will be available to review or add comments following the meeting.
- Children's Memorial - project team discussed with Compassionate Friends - about modifying the memorial to use the pedestrian bridges to allow for future expansion.
- No redflags on proposed uses within PR zoning.
- No setback for accessory buildings (shelters, restrooms, etc.), parking lots, etc, 3' from OHW. Setbacks only apply to principal structures.
- 30' front yard and sideyard of 30' from adjacent property lines.
- Discuss sidewalk access from Vilas Ave. to park/multi-use trail with RRG.
- Review wetland boundary at Northern Parking lot and limits of Stormwater treatment. May need to alter Stormwater treatment location or method - confirm with CoM Stormwater and DNR.
- Question for Sean/TE: is there a preferred proposed section for 2-way bike combined w/ ped-only?
- Currently shown as 12' MUP with 6' Ped. (Sean - minimum 10' with 2' buffer and 6' path. delineated by striping)
- Check in with Edgewood regarding their plans for boardwalks / other shoreline features

From Planning:

- RESII analysis on Vilas Park Drive closure. Planning is concerned with the possibility of reducing accessibility for those with limited mobility and less convenient access in general. Look at "woonerf" or other means for slow movement of vehicles.
- Include narrative on future use of 2203 Edgewood Drive (this is a TR-C2 zoned property with wetland designation) so the plan isn't silent of any proposed use for the lot
- Include narrative on connecting Edgewood Drive to Wingra Park via pedestrian path system which would result in a quicker, easier route around Lake Wingra. Note - Engineering was planning to condemn the driveways behind 1054, 1050, 938 Woodrow Street to make this pedestrian connection during the Monroe Street reconstruction; however, the state legislature changed the law limiting the authority of the city to do so.
- Vilas Mounds are a local landmark (in addition to being protected by the Wisconsin Burial Law). Any changes there will need a CoA in addition to a Request to Disturb Permit from the Wisconsin Historical Society. Whatever you work out with the tribes, we will likely concur with their wishes for how to best respect a site sacred to their people and showing proper respect to their ancestors who are buried there.
- Should plan go to Plan Commission for Review?

