

In 2010, the Madison Police Department moved from reporting crime data using the traditional Summary Based Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) to Incident Based Reporting (IBR). IBR and UCR are reporting systems used by law enforcement agencies in the United States for collecting and reporting crime data to the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The general concepts, such as jurisdictional rules, of collecting and reporting UCR data are the same as in IBR. However, IBR goes into much greater detail than the summary-based UCR system and IBR reports all offenses involved in a particular incident.

The Federal Bureau of Investigation is encouraging all law enforcement agencies to move to the IBR method. However, not all law enforcement agencies are certified to submit IBR, therefore they continue to report UCR. For example, there are 529 law enforcement agencies in Wisconsin and approximately 107 are certified in IBR reporting. This makes it very difficult to compare crime nationally.

In the first quarter 2016, Madison Police Department responded to 47,426 calls for service resulting in 3,130 IBR offenses.

Incident Based Reporting (IBR) Offenses						
Offenses	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	%
Arson	3				3	0.1%
Assault/Battery	363				363	11.6%
Bribery	0				0	0.0%
Burglary	198				198	6.3%
Counterfeiting/Forgery	51				51	1.6%
Damage to Property	342				342	10.9%
Drug Offenses	310				310	9.9%
Embezzlement	17				17	0.5%
Extortion	4				4	0.1%
Fraud	340				340	10.9%
Gambling	1				1	0.0%
Homicide	2				2	0.1%
Kidnapping/Abduction	18				18	0.6%
Larceny/Theft	1262				1262	40.3%
Motor Vehicle Theft	68				68	2.2%
Pornography	9				9	0.3%
Prostitution	1				1	0.0%
Robbery	52				52	1.7%
Sex Offenses, Forcible	53				53	1.7%
Sex Offenses, Non-Forcible	1				1	0.0%
Stolen Property	8				8	0.3%
Weapon Law Violations*	27				27	0.9%
Total	3130	0	0	0	3130	100.0%

*Weapon Law Violations include prohibiting the manufacture, sale, purchase, transportation, possession, concealment, or use of firearms, cutting instruments, explosives (including fireworks). The number of offenses in this category does not represent "shots fired" calls for service.

Suspect- Sex	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	%
Male	1043				1043	69.0%
Female	445				445	29.4%
Unknown	24				24	1.6%
Total	1512	0	0	0	1512	100.0%

Suspect- Race	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	%
Asian	22				22	1.5%
African-American	701				701	46.4%
Native American	7				7	0.5%
Unknown	96				96	6.3%
Caucasian	686				686	45.4%
Total	1512	0	0	0	1512	100.0%

Victim- Sex	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	%
Male	951				951	46.1%
Female	1112				1112	53.8%
Unknown	2				2	0.1%
Total	2065	0	0	0	2065	100.0%

Victim- Race	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	%
Asian	65				65	3.1%
African-American	353				353	17.1%
Native American	10				10	0.5%
Unknown	201				201	9.7%
Caucasian	1436				1436	69.5%
Total	2065	0	0	0	2065	100.0%

District	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total	%
West	887				887	28.3%
South	406				406	13.0%
Central	626				626	20.0%
North	422				422	13.5%
East	764				764	24.4%
Other	25				25	0.8%
Total	3130	0	0	0	3130	100.0%

Due to the dynamic nature of data, this information is a snapshot in time as of the creation of this report. The processing of additional records and corrections will be reflected in updates to existing and future sections of this report. Data generated on: 5/12/2016