

QUESTION/RESPONSE SUMMARY FOR CITY ENGINEERING – DISTRIBUTED GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE RFP

Q1) Does the City have an estimated budget for this project?

A1) We have estimated that this work will cost approximately \$60,000.00

Q2) Task 1.3 requests that the consultant identify conflicts within the City's codes outlined in Exhibits 1-7. Since the title of RFP notes that the review is for DGI, we are requesting confirmation that the scope of the review / identified conflicts will be in those codes as they relate specifically to DGI (and not have to list any and all conflicts)?

A2) This RFP is looking for conflicts between the proposed stormwater ordinance (MGO 37) with existing ordinances, policies and procedures. One example would be identifying discrepancies between the uses of pervious pavement under MGO 37 vs MGO 28. Although the title includes DGI it should not be limited solely to reviewing for DGI, however that is an important item that will need to be looked at closely.

Q3) For the potential additional public meetings and/or board/council meetings, do you anticipate that those would be presenting the same or similar content as the ones in the base scope, or would those likely consist of creating brand new content?

A3) We would anticipate that presentations to Board/Councils... would be different than a presentation to the general public however we would anticipate the presentations to Board/Councils to be similar.

Q4) How does this RFP (distributed green infrastructure) fits in with the second, complete green streets RFP?

A4) This RFP is primarily looking for problems/inconsistencies with our existing code regarding the implementation of DGI and further looking at ways to encourage it on existing properties via MGO changes, Rate Structure, or policy changes. The Green/Complete Streets RFP is targeted at creating a hierarchy with regard to how the use of ROW should be prioritized. The ongoing watershed studies will determine where DGI makes the most sense from a hydrologic/hydraulic perspective.

Q5) What the composition of a winning team might look like?

A5) We expect that a winning team would be composed of professionals who are detail oriented to allow them to review existing City codes/policies for disincentives and contradictions. Further we would anticipated that some of the involved staff have worked with Stormwater Utilities in the past to create incentive/grants/rebate policies associated with their rate structures to encourage behavior. All parties involved need not be Engineers but should be familiar with the laws/policies in Wisconsin that govern Stormwater Utilities.

Q6) What is the timeline for this project?

A6) The timeline for completion is stated in the RFP as October 31, 2020. Key dates prior to that deliverable date can be proposed by the Consultant. If that date cannot be met, the Consultant should provide reasoning and a suitable date that the work could be completed by.

Q7) On Page 9 of the RFP, Item 6.c states "Complete attached Cost Proposal and include with submittal."

Our team was not able to identify a document representing the "attached Cost Proposal" in the RFP or among the posted Exhibits and Appendices.

A7) The cost proposal spreadsheet was inadvertently left off the posting. This is now posted with the rest of the RFP documents.