

Impervious Layer Development RFP Questions

City of Madison, WI

Questions received by: 4:00 pm March, 27, 2020

Answers posted by: 4:00pm April, 3, 2020

1. What is the City looking for in the proposal/what are the RFP submittal requirements? Signed contract, description of processes used and cost are the only things we could see in the proposal.

The submittal should include 1) methodology and approach, 2) scope of work, 3) similar projects, 4) three references, and 5) costs broken down per task.

2. Does the City have a budget for the project?

This is an effort the City has not undertaken previously, therefore, the City is unsure of the cost of a typical project. As such, a budget line item in the City's Capital Budget is not available. The proposing companies should provide costs for the base level of effort described in the RFP. If there are additional tasks or effort the proposing company thinks could add value, those additional tasks should be described in an appendix and the cost for the additional effort identified.

3. Is there interest in mapping additional land cover classes that affect stormwater runoff (e.g., tree canopy)?

The City of Madison is not interested in tree canopy, as we had a layer created last year. If there are other land cover classes that you think would be helpful for hydrologic and hydraulic or stormwater quality modeling, please submit a description of the service, and an additional cost only for the City of Madison base area, as a proposal appendix.

4. Will the Fitchburg ortho imagery be 4-band?

Yes, the City of Fitchburg has 4-band ortho imagery available from 2017. The City will provide that data, if needed, once the project extents are finalized.

5. Does the City have a requirement for a project kickoff meeting to be in person or can it be remote?

The kickoff meeting can be remote.

6. How comfortable are you with the level of accuracy of the SWU_ImperviousAreas shapefile?

The City is generally comfortable with the SWU_ImperviousAreas shapefile. There are older developments that clearly do not match well that we are not satisfied with and would not like to see the areas of more egregious inaccuracies replicated in the new layer, yet the City is comfortable with the median accuracy. However, the City is not comfortable with the overlaps or inaccurate slivers that exist within this layer as they make utilizing the data more difficult.