



Homeless Services Consortium Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

November 16, 2018 – 11:00AM – 1:00PM

The Road Home Conference Room

890 W Wingra Drive, Madison, WI 53715

Call to Order and Welcome at 11:15

Present: Jani Koester, Conner Wild, Kathy Kamp, Matt Julian, Brenda Konkel, Kim Sutter, Takisha Jordan, Ann Catlett

Absent: Robin Sereno, Heidi Wegleitner, Melissa Mennig, Torrie Kopp Mueller

- 1. Introductions:** Kim Sutter is replacing Melissa Mennig while on leave as the HSC membership
- 2. Approval of the minutes from 11/16/18.** Kamp motions to approve the minutes, Koester 2nds. All present vote in favor with exception to Konkel, who abstains.
- 3. Approval to move Konkel from a non-profit agency seat to an at-large seat through the end of her term.**
 - a. Konkel's previous experience was reviewed already this year when voted in. No further questions regarding Konkel's experience.
 - b. Wild makes a motion to convert Konkel's position of an agency seat to an at-large seat, Julian 2nds the motion.
 - c. Discussion: we have space to move into an at-large seat. We do not have a person to fill the agency seat at this time, but it is being re-elected next month anyways.
 - d. Koester calls vote: All present vote in favor with exception to Konkel, who abstains.
- 4. Approval of letter to TRC Board of Directors:** Koester presents letter drafted by leadership team
 - a. Julian motions to approve the letter; motion is 2nd by Jordan
 - b. Konkel steps out for the discussion

- c. Reviewed the purpose of the letter. Edited one sentence and confirmed the appropriate contact information.
- d. Koester Calls vote: All present vote in favor with exception to Konkell, who was not present in the room.

5. Vote on HSC Support of the Park Street Permanent Supportive Housing Development by Heartland Housing

- a. Reviewed meeting with the City
- b. Our best scenario right now would be for Heartland to submit paperwork the week of Dec 19th which would go in front of Urban Design Commission on January 2nd, 4:30pm at Madison Municipal Building Rm 111. The site plan would be reviewed and if approved moved forward to the City's Plan Commission that would meet January 14th at 5:30pm in City County Building Room 201. I will keep you up to date if those times and meetings change.
- c. Consider submitting a letter. We support the city and support plan to develop more supportive services. Julian volunteers to generate letter with Kamp's assistance.
- d. In addition to these meetings, we discussed the need for the Board to assist with
- e. Konkell motions to express support now and we will develop a letter for the next meeting. Julian 2nds the motion.
 - i. Discussion that our support assumes that there are adequate funds for services.
 - ii. We support the Park Street Permanent Supportive Housing Development by Heartland Housing and will work with them as a community partner to support funding for adequate supportive services.
 - iii. Koester calls vote; all in favor, none opposed

6. Discussion on Racial Disparity data: Jordan and Julian

- a. Concerns brought up about the VI-SDPAT
 - i. Concerns of administration of SPDAT
 - ii. Self-reporting errors
 - 1. How do we remove biases?
 - iii. Kamp – MKE CE used ubuntu to do an equity evaluation.
 - 1. They have reviewed other programs.
 - iv. Konkell should we review a shorter period of time because of how the push to gather data has changed. How do we decipher between old data versus the new CE process?
 - v. Jordan can we ask ICA to develop another form and trial it with folks a sample group at the Beacon.
 - 1. Can we clarify how MKE does the process?
 - vi. Catlett what are our specific impact areas for potential to change.
 - vii. Wild suggests we sample set having folks call-in versus face-to-face with Coordinated Entry and see how the numbers are effected?
 - 1. Can we sample certain questions based on race of White vs Black to see if there are certain questions bring up inequities?
 - viii. Konkell – can the fair housing council do testing of our system to give feedback
 - 1. Catlett – can we get the school to do an analysis
 - 2. Wild Can we hire out

3. Can we get the offices of equity and inclusion from the City/County to review our process.
4. Kamp – ask ICA if they can do an analysis of the questions by race.
 - a. Anecdotal how folks access healthcare less or incarcerated more.
 - b. Koester talks about trauma informed questioning.
5. Wild reviewed ideas
 - a. Ask ICA to further analyze the bias
 - b. Ask Inclusion – Jordan will talk to Kopp Mueller
 - i. Byron Bishop with EOD may also be of help in this
 - c. Inquire with MKE – Wild volunteers
 - d. Jenna Schmidt – Reach out to inquire about advocacy about how we locally
 - i. There is some work about anti-bias work.
- b. Jordan – is this an issue that we can delegate to the committees for reviewing the process.

7. Discussion on Consumer Input

- a. Konkel – We could put public comment on our agenda
 - i. Julian brings up lived experience action group/board
 - ii. Folks blog about the goings of the week. Konkel states that if we get agendas out earlier, we could have more public knowledge of our meetings and agendas.
- b. We need to get more clarification on the intent. Motion to move the discussion to the next agenda Wild and Jordan. All approved to table to next month.

Motion to close at 12:52