CHRONIC NUISANCE PREMISES ORDINANCE MGO Sec. 25.09

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

1. WHY DOES MADISON NEED A CHRONIC NUISANCE PREMISES ORDINANCE?

This ordinance is a tool the City can use to quickly and effectively abate nuisance activity that is occurring on a specific premises. The ordinance places responsibility for abating nuisance activity on the premises owner and holds the premises owner accountable for what happens on, or in association with, his or her property. The primary focus of this ordinance is non-owner occupied residential properties where the landlord has been unresponsive to the City's efforts to work with the landlord to abate the nuisance activities associated with his or her premises.

2. WHAT ARE THE BASIC FACTS OF THE ORDINANCE?

It allows the City to declare a premises a chronic public nuisance if there are 3 enforcement actions for nuisance activities occurring on, or in association with, a premises, within a 90 day period. The ordinance allows the possibility for the City to recover the cost of Police and/or Neighborhood Preservation and Inspection response to repeated occurrences of nuisance activities at the same premises. The ordinance also penalizes premises owners who fail to respond to the chronic public nuisance notice and/or fail to, in good faith, abate the nuisance activity.

3. WHAT ARE NUISANCE ACTIVITIES?

The nuisance activities listed in the ordinance are generally associated with quality of life issues. Examples of nuisance activities include:

- Harassment
- Disorderly conduct
- Battery
- Indecent exposure
- Damage to property
- Disturbing the peace
- Discharge of a firearm
- Trespass
- Obstructing a street or sidewalk
- Theft
- Arson
- Depositing rubbish
- Prostitution
- Open alcohol
- Selling alcohol without a license

- Possession, manufacture, delivery and/or distribution of a controlled substance
- Maintaining a drug dwelling
- Illegal gambling
- Keeping a dangerous animal
- Violations of the minimum housing code

4. DOES THIS ORDINANCE CREATE A PROVISION THAT PUTS LANDLORDS IN JAIL?

No. It is true, that if a premises owner fails to pay a forfeiture associated with this ordinance they could go to jail for failure to pay. However, this is true with any municipal court forfeiture action involving an ordinance violation and is not unique to this ordinance.

5. WILL THIS ORDINANCE APPLY TO OTHER TYPES OF PREMISES?

Yes. This ordinance also applies to any hotel, motel, tourist rooming house and mobile home park located in the City of Madison. The ordinance will be applied City wide.

6. WILL THIS ORDINANCE BE INCORPORATED INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT?

There is no mandate from the City that this ordinance be included in any lease agreement. The City does not regulate lease agreements.

7. WILL THIS ORDINANCE RESULT IN HUNDREDS OR THOUSANDS OF PREMISES BEING DECLARED CHRONIC NUISANCE PREMISES?

No. The City anticipates having to use this ordinance on a couple of dozen premises per year. The vast majority of premises owners are responsive to, and cooperate with, the City when contacted in regard to nuisance activity on, or associated with, their premises. This ordinance is really targeted at the landlords who are unresponsive and have rental property or properties that have a negative impact on the quality of life in a neighborhood and/or the community in general.

8. HOW DOES A PREMISES GET DECLARED A CHRONIC NUISANCE PREMISES?

There are several ways in which this could happen:

(1) If there are three (3) or more enforcement actions (which basically means arrest) in ninety (90) days for nuisance activities occurring on or in association with a premises;

(2) If a Dane County Circuit Court has signed a search warrant for a premises based upon probable cause to believe that manufacture, distribution or delivery of a controlled substance has occurred on or in association with the premises; (3) If the premises has had one (1) enforcement action associated with the premises resulting from the manufacture, distribution or delivery of a controlled substance; or

(4) If the premises has had five (5) building inspections occurring within a one (1) year period and said calls resulted in enforcement action (referral to City Attorney for prosecution).

9. WHY ARREST AND NOT CONVICTION AS THE STANDARD FOR CONSIDERING WHEN A NUISANCE ACTIVITY HAS OCCURRED?

Using arrest as the standard is more objective and it allows for a faster response to chronically occurring nuisance activity. Using conviction as the standard is too unpredictable. Anybody charged with a law violation can contest that charge in court. It is possible that a person charged with a law violation would not be convicted or the case otherwise adjudicated for up to a year, possibly longer. This means the nuisance activity could drag on for as long as the court case and it could mean that the City would be unable to proceed with a chronic nuisance action because all nuisance activity charges would be pending and could remain pending for long periods of time.

10. HOW IS A PREMISES OWNER NOTIFIED THAT THEIR PREMISES IS A CHRONIC NUISANCE PREMISES?

Written notice is provided to the premises owner via first class mail or delivered in person. The notice identifies the premises and includes a description of the relevant nuisance activities that support the determination that the premises is a chronic nuisance premises.

11. WHAT DOES THE PREMISES OWNER HAVE TO DO AFTER GETTING THE NOTICE THAT HIS OR HER PREMISES IS A CHRONIC NUISANCE PREMISES?

The premises owner has 10 days to respond with either an appeal of the determination, or to propose a written course of action to abate the nuisance activities. If the premises owner fails to respond, they will be subject to a forfeiture in the amount of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) plus court costs and fees. If the premises is a non-owner occupied residential premises, the premises owner must attend an approved landlord training within 30 days of the issuance of the chronic nuisance premises notice. If the landlord fails to attend the training, he or she is subject to a \$250 monetary penalty.

12. ISN'T EVICTION THE ONLY PLAN A LANDLORD COULD HAVE TO ABATE NUISANCE ACTIVITY?

Absolutely not. Of course, there may be times when eviction is the only answer to abating a chronic nuisance. However, there are many, many options available to a landlord to abate nuisance activity. We know that a good portion of nuisance activity occurs when it is supported by certain environmental elements. Thus, if the environmental elements are addressed, we can reduce or eliminate the nuisance

activity. For example, overgrown weeds and shrubs provide natural cover to individuals engaging in illegal activities. Abandoned vehicles and poor lighting in parking lots can create a haven for drug dealers. An abatement plan could include trimming back shrubs and trees, increasing lighting in parking lots and at entrances to buildings, posting a property with no trespass signs, keeping trash and junk off the property, removing abandoned vehicles, having the premises owner establish a presence on the property (either themselves or by way of a property manager or maintenance manager), establishing a permit system for parking, and so on. These types of strategies are commonly referred to as CPTED (Crime Prevention through Environmental Design).

13. WHAT IF THE ONLY LEASE IS AN ORAL LEASE?

Landlords who opt for oral leases rather than written leases can still non-renew leases at the end of a lease term (which, in the case of an oral lease would presumably be month-to-month) by giving the appropriate notice. A landlord can non-renew a lease at the end of a lease term for any reason or no reason. The statutes do allow landlords to give a 14 day notice to vacate if the tenant commits waste or breaches any other covenant or condition of the agreement. Granted, breaching any other covenant or condition of the agreement may be difficult to prove if the lease is an oral lease, but it is not an impossibility. This is another reason why education is so important. It is in the landlord's best interest to have a written lease to better exercise his or her rights as a landlord.

14. IS THERE AN APPEAL PROCESS?

Yes. A premises owner may appeal the chronic nuisance determination and/or the action of the City Comptroller in imposing special charges to the property. Any appeal is made to the City's Administrative Review Board.

15. WHAT HAPPENS IF A PREMISES OWNER SUBMITS AN ABATEMENT PLAN AND DOES EVERYTHING THE PLAN REQUIRES BUT THE NUISANCE ACTIVITY CONTINUES?

The City will not penalize a premises owner who is working diligently and in good faith with the City. We will continue to work cooperatively with that premises owner in an attempt to develop new strategies for abating nuisance activity.

16. WHAT HAPPENS IF A PREMISES OWNER DOES NOT COOPERATE AND DOES NOT TAKE STEPS TO ABATE THE NUISANCE ACTIVITY?

The costs of enforcement actions taken on that owner's premises can be charged to the owner. The ordinance also contains a forfeiture provision which would subject the premises owner to a monetary penalty of \$1,000 to \$5,000 for each instance of nuisance activity once the premises has been declared a chronic nuisance premises. If the premises owner fails to pay the monetary penalty, jail time is possible.

17. WHAT ABOUT RETALIATION AGAINST INNOCENT TENANTS BY A LANDLORD?

The ordinance does contain a provision that prohibits a landlord from intimidating or actively discouraging a tenant and/or persons associated with a tenant, from calling the police to report nuisance activity associated with the premises. There is a presumption that any attempt to evict during the 12-month period following receipt of any such complaint is unlawful retaliation. Any landlord found guilty of such retaliation is subject to a monetary penalty of up to \$2000 and jail time if they fail to pay the penalty.

18. WHAT EXACTLY IS A PREMISES? IS IT ONE APARTMENT IN AN APARTMENT BUILDING OR IS IT THE ENTIRE BUILDING?

The ordinance specifies that "premises" could be one unit in a large complex; it could be an entire complex or an entire building in a complex. It is necessary to define premises in this manner because of the vast array of housing options and the various ways in which nuisance activity may be present on a property.

19. ARE DOMESTIC ABUSE INCIDENTS COUNTED AS NUISANCE ACTIVITIES?

There is a special provision in the ordinance regarding domestic abuse. The Chief of Police and the Office of the City Attorney must review any incident related to domestic abuse before it could be deemed a nuisance activity under the ordinance.