

Appendix A: Proposal Quality Review Rubric

Part 1 – Organization Narrative				
Relevant Question	Strong	Satisfactory	Developing	Points Available
1. Organization History	History and mission align with CDD’s goal ; the relationship between organization history and mission with CDD goals is clearly described.	History and mission align with CDD’s goals ; the relationship between organization history and mission with CDD goals is partially described.	History and mission do not clearly align with CDD’s goals ; the relationship between organization history and mission with CDD goals is not described	10
2. Organization Experience with Program Type	Organization clearly demonstrates capacity to manage and implement the proposed program, and describes a successful history with similar programs and services.	Organization demonstrates some capacity to manage and implement the proposed program, has some history with similar programs and services with relevant partners.	Organization does not sufficiently demonstrate capacity to manage and implement the proposed program, and has limited history with similar programs.	
3. Significant Changes in Organization	Applicant describes all significant changes (if applicable), if there have been changes a clear explanation is provided regarding the organization’s stability.	Applicant describes some significant changes (if applicable), if there have been changes and provides a general response regarding the organization’s stability.	Applicant addresses may address significant changes (if applicable) but does not outline the ability to manage change or provide an explanation as to organization’s future stability.	
4. Staff Experience, Education, and Training, Support for Professional Development	Staff experience, education and training clearly fit the needs of the proposed program(s) and there is a clear and strong commitment to provide ongoing professional development.	Staff experience, education and training fit the needs of the proposed program(s) and applicant provides ongoing professional development.	It is not clear if staff experience, education and training fit the needs of the proposed program(s) and applicant provides limited professional development.	15
Part 3 – Budget Workbook Board and Staff Demographics	Existing staff, board, volunteers directly reflects the population served or there is a plan to address representation.	Existing staff, board, volunteers generally reflect the population served or there is a plan to address representation.	Existing staff, board, volunteers do not reflect the population served or there is a plan to address representation.	

Appendix A: Proposal Quality Review Rubric

Part 2 – Program Narrative				
Relevant Question	Strong	Satisfactory	Developing	Points Available
1A. Need for Program and Data Used	Community and participant needs are clearly described using credible data sources with a strong connection as to how the program will meet these needs. Applicant considers and clearly describes how the proposed program will enhance existing programs that address similar needs.	Community and participant needs are generally described and supported by data; there is a connection between needs and the proposed program. Applicant considers and generally describes how the proposed program will enhance existing programs that address similar needs.	Community and participant needs are vague using very little data or unnamed data sources and lacks a reasonable connection as to how the program will meet the needs. Applicant does not consider nor describes how the proposed program will enhance existing programs that address similar needs.	5
1B. Goal Statement	Provides clear and specific goal statement that is aligned with the needs and interests of the target population.	Provides general goal statement that is aligned with the needs and interests of the target population.	Goal statement that is not aligned with the needs and interests of the target population.	
1C. Program Summary	Program summary is succinct and clear, aligns with the needs of the target population, and describes a strong relationship between the program activities and the proposed outcomes.	Program summary aligns with the needs of the target population, and describes a relationship between the program activities and the proposed outcomes.	Program summary does not clearly align with the needs of the target population, nor does it describes a relationship between the program activities and the proposed outcomes.	

Appendix A: Proposal Quality Review Rubric

Part 2 – Program Narrative				
Relevant Question	Strong	Satisfactory	Developing	Points Available
2A. Proposed Participant Population	Recruitment clearly focuses on the population identified in the RFP and comprehensively addresses barriers to participation. Intake and assessment process provides information that leads to appropriate services.	Recruitment focuses somewhat on the population identified in the RFP and addresses barriers to participation; provides a general description of the intake and assessment process.	Recruitment does not focus on the population identified in the RFP and does not address barriers to participation; provides minimal or no description of the intake and assessment process.	10
2.B 2022 Participant Demographics	Currently serves the target population and the diversity of the neighborhood or school area is directly reflected in the participant demographics.	Currently serves the target population, the diversity of the neighborhood or school area is mostly reflected in the participant demographics.	Has not provided services to the target population in 2022.	
2C. Language Access and Cultural Relevance	Demonstrates a thorough understanding of the cultural and linguistic needs of the targeted population (specific languages spoken in the community as well as cultural practices that may impact program implementation and design). Proposal includes several strategies for ensuring effective communication and engagement with program participants and their families (translation and interpretation services) and a strong, demonstrated commitment to culturally responsive programming that respects cultural diversity of the community.	Demonstrates a general understanding of the cultural and linguistic needs of the targeted population (specific languages spoken in the community as well as cultural practices that may impact program implementation and design). Proposal includes some strategies for ensuring communication and engagement with program participants and their families (translation and interpretation services). General commitment to culturally responsive programming that respects cultural diversity of the community.	Application demonstrates minimal/no understanding of the cultural and linguistic needs of the targeted population (specific languages spoken in the community as well as cultural practices that may impact program implementation and design). Outlines minimal/no strategies for ensuring communication and engagement with program participants and their families (translation and interpretation services). Lack of commitment to culturally responsive programming that respects cultural diversity of the community.	
2D. Recruitment, Engagement, Intake and Assessment	Clear and detailed plan for engaging and recruiting target population including specific outreach strategies and communication methods. Clearly articulates intake and assessment process in a culturally responsive and sensitive manner.	General plan for engaging and recruiting target population including specific outreach strategies and communication methods. Articulates some understanding of the need for culturally responsive intake and assessment.	Minimal or no plan for plan for engaging and recruiting target population Articulates some understanding of the need for a culturally responsive intake and assessment.	

Appendix A: Proposal Quality Review Rubric

Part 2 – Program Narrative				
Relevant Question	Strong	Satisfactory	Developing	Points Available
3A. Activities	Program activities are developmentally appropriate, culturally relevant, and fit the program design. Activity plan is strongly and specifically supported by evidence-based practices.	Program activities are developmentally appropriate, culturally relevant, and generally fit the program design. Activity plan generally supported by evidence-based practices.	Program activities are not developmentally appropriate, culturally relevant and may not fit the program design; and the design does not utilize evidence-based practices.	15
3B. Program Schedule	Program schedule exceeds the minimum RFP requirements and fits with the needs of the target population.	Program schedule meets the minimum RFP requirements and fits with the needs of the target population	Program schedule does not meet the RFP requirements and does not fit with the needs of the target population	
3.C Frequency, Duration and Anticipated Attendance	Program stucture exceeds the minimum frequency, duration and anticipated attendance requirments.	Program stucture meets the minimum frequency, duration and anticipated attendance requirments.	Program stucture does not meet all of the minimum frequency, duration and anticipated attendance requirments.	

Appendix A: Proposal Quality Review Rubric

Part 2 – Program Narrative				
Relevant Question	Strong	Satisfactory	Developing	Points Available
4A. Family Engagement	Program plan includes several effective on-going strategies to engage, build relationships and address potential barriers with participant’s families for program planning, in specific activities, and with program assessment; describes a strong commitment to providing ongoing communication and support to families.	Program plan includes a few effective on-going strategies to engage, build relationships and address potential barriers with participant’s families for program planning, in specific activities, and with program assessment; describes a some commitment to providing ongoing communication and support to families.	Program plan includes a limited strategies to engage, build relationships and address potential barriers with participant’s families for program planning, in specific activities, and with program assessment.	10
4B. Neighborhood/Community Engagement	Neighborhood and community engagement plan includes several effective on-going strategies to engage, build relationships and address potential barriers with neighborhood and community stakeholders including a significant focus on racial equity and social justice.	Neighborhood and community engagement plan includes a few effective on-going strategies to engage, build relationships and address potential barriers with neighborhood and community stakeholders including some focus on racial equity and social justice.	Neighborhood and community engagement plan does not include effective on-going strategies to engage, build relationships and address potential barriers with neighborhood and community stakeholders or have a focus on racial equity and social justice.	
4C. Collaboration and Coordination	Collaboration and coordination includes meaningful on-going relationships with other school-age child and youth stakeholders; has numerous existing partnerships and a plan to identify and engage new partners/stakeholders ; and works with other stakeholders to ensure a coordinated and integrated approach to child/youth programs.	Collaboration and coordination includes relationships with other school-age child and youth stakeholders; has a few existing partnerships; and has some connection with other stakeholders to ensure a coordinated and integrated approach to child/youth programs.	Collaboration and coordination includes no relationships with other school-age child and youth stakeholders or existing partnerships.	10
4D. Resource Linkage	Resource linkage plan demonstrates a comprehensive understanding of the relevant resources available; proactively provides and address barriers to resources that will benefit participants and their families, and describes an on-going commitment to collaboration and remaining integrated into broader service network.	Resource linkage plan demonstrates a general understanding of the relevant resources available; provides resources that will benefit participants and their families, and describes some collaboration with the broader service network.	Resource linkage plan does not demonstrate an understanding of the relevant resources available or provide resources that will benefit participants and their families.	

Appendix A: Proposal Quality Review Rubric

Part 2 – Program Narrative				
Relevant Question	Strong	Satisfactory	Developing	Points Available
5A. MOST Effective Practices	All MOST Effective Practices or other research-based program quality model are reflected in the program design; and there is a clear process for continued assessment of alignment with the seven elements.	A majority but not all MOST Effective Practices or other research-based program quality model are reflected in the program design; and there is a general process for continued assessment of alignment with the seven elements.	Minimal MOST Effective Practices or other research-based program quality model are reflected in the program design; and there is no clear process for continued assessment of alignment with the seven elements.	10
5B. Outputs - Unduplicated Participants and Program Hours	Relative to the program plan and staffing, applicant presents an realistic and effectual goal regarding the number of children/youth participants and total number of program hours expected to be offered annually.	Relative to the program plan and staffing, applicant presents a goal regarding the number of children/youth participants and total number of program hours expected to be offered annually.	Relative to the program plan and staffing, applicant presents an unrealistic or ineffectual goal regarding the number of children/youth participants and total number of program hours expected to be offered annually.	
5C. Program Outcomes	Annual program outcomes are detailed and logically corresponds with activities, program resources and outputs. Measurement tools are research-based .	Annual program outcomes logically correspond with activities, program resources and outputs. Measurement tools are identified.	Annual program outcomes do not logically correspond with activities, program resources and outputs. Measurement tools are not identified.	
5D. Data Tracking	Plan for collecting, tracking and analyzing data is clear, realistic and directly corresponds with outputs and outcome; plan is detailed on how information is collected; and how data is regularly analyzed and used to make adjustments and improvements to the program as needed.	Plan for collecting, tracking and analyzing data is clear, realistic and directly corresponds with outputs and outcomes; data collection method is identified; and data sometimes used to make adjustments and improvements to the program as needed.	Plan for collecting, tracking and analyzing data is not clear or realistic, and does not correspond with outputs and outcomes; data collection method is not clearly identified.	

Appendix A: Proposal Quality Review Rubric

Part 2 – Program Narrative				
Relevant Question	Strong	Satisfactory	Developing	Points Available
6. Program Location	Program activities are provided in the neighborhoods where the participants live or in the schools they attend.	Program activities are provided in a location that is readily accessible to the participants and their families.	Program activities are provided in a location with inherent barriers to participation with no plan to address the barriers.	15
7. Program Staffing	Program staff have qualifications to effectively implement the proposed program; the number of staff and FTE provide ample time for program activities and planning, supervision, team building, professional development, collaboration and program evaluation.	Program staff have qualifications to effectively implement the proposed program; the number of staff and FTE provide ample time for program planning and implementation, as well as some time for supervision, team building, professional development, collaboration and program evaluation.	Program staff may not have qualifications to effectively implement the proposed program; the number of staff and FTE provide minimal time for program planning and implementation, as well as little time for supervision, team building, professional development, collaboration and program evaluation.	
Part 3 – Budget Workbook	Proposed budget provides ample support relative to the program type, activities and structure; incorporates multiple on-going funding sources and a reasonable fundraising goal.	Proposed budget provides appropriate level of support relative to the program type, activities and structure; incorporates on-going funding sources and a reasonable fundraising goal.	Proposed budget does not support the program type, activities and structure; does not include any on-going funding sources and/or has an unreasonable fundraising goal.	