



VANDEWALLE & ASSOCIATES INC.

June 15, 2016

Jay Wendt
Department of Planning & Development
City of Madison
215 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.
Madison, WI 53710-2985

RE: Royal Capital: 114 Milky Way Property
CUP: Residential Complex

Dear Jay,

The following document and illustrative graphics outline the request for Conditional Use Permit: Residential Complex (CUP) zoning for the Royal Capital Milky Way Property. The proposed development request 94 residential units within 6 stacked flat buildings with a central courtyard and clubhouse, per the attached plans.

We look forward to working with the City on the review and implementation of this project.

Sincerely,

Brian Munson
Principal

Applicant

Royal Capital Group

710 North Plankinton Street

Suite 1100

Milwaukee, WI 53203

Phone: 414.847.6275

Fax: 414.915.8080

Kevin Newell

Kevin.Newell@royal-cg.com

Terrell Walter

Terrell.Walter@royal-cg.com

Design Team

Architecture:

Engberg Anderson Design Partnership

611 North Broadway

Suite 517

Milwaukee, WI 53202-5004

Phone: 414.944.9000

Fax: 414.944.9100

Mark Ernst

marke@engberganderson.com

Amanda Koch

amandak@engberganderson.com

Planning:

Vandewalle & Associates

120 East Lakeside Street

Madison, Wisconsin 53715

Phone: 608.255.3988

Fax: 608.255.0814

Brian Munson

bmunson@vandewalle.com

Engineering & Landscape Architecture:

D'Onofrio Kottke

7530 Westward Way

Madison, WI 53717

Phone: 608.833.7530

Fax: 608.833.1089

Dan Day

dday@donofrio.cc

Matt Saltzberry

msaltzberry@donofrio.cc

Existing Conditions

Existing Zoning:

TR-U1

Proposed Zoning:

TR-U1

CUP: Residential Complex

Addresses/PIN:

114 Milky Way

071002301019

Aldermanic District:

District 3: Alder Hall

Neighborhood Association:

McClelland Park Neighborhood

Neighborhood Plan:	Medium Density Residential	
Notifications:	Alder Hall	April 8, 2016
	McClelland Park/Sprecher East Neighborhood	April 8, 2016
	DAT Presentation	April 15, 2016
	Neighborhood Meeting	April 27, 2016
	UDC Informational Presentation	May 11, 2016
Legal Description:	See Attached	
Lot Area:	5.02 acres	

Proposed Use:

Proposed Use:	94 units Residential
Proposed Yards:	
Front Yard:	As shown on approved site plan
Side Yard:	As shown on approved site plan
Min. Building Separation:	As shown on approved site plan
Rear Yard:	As shown on approved site plan
Open Space:	As shown on approved site plan

Conditional Use Standards

1. The establishment, maintenance or operation of the conditional use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare.

The proposed changes will allow the completion of the planned residential project in a form that is compatible with the existing and surrounding development.

2. The City is able to provide municipal services to the property where the conditional use is proposed, given due consideration of the cost of providing those services.

The site is currently served by municipal services and the overall unit count will be slightly reduced.

3. The uses, values and enjoyment of other property in the neighborhood for purposes already established will not be substantially impaired or diminished in any foreseeable manner.

The proposed uses are complimentary to the surrounding neighborhood.

4. The establishment of the conditional use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted in the district.

The proposed conditional use will not impede any adjoining properties from developing.

5. Adequate utilities, access roads, drainage, parking supply, internal circulation improvements, including but not limited to vehicular, pedestrian, bicycle, public transit and other necessary site improvements have been or are being provided.

This parcel was designed to accommodate the proposed development and the completion of the site will not impact any of the site improvements.

6. Measures, which may include transportation demand management (TDM) and participation in a transportation management association have been or will be taken to provide adequate ingress and egress, including all off-site improvements, so designed as to minimize traffic congestion and to ensure public safety and adequate traffic flow, both on-site and on the public streets.

Adequate measures are already established to minimize traffic congestion from the site.

7. The conditional use conforms to all applicable regulations of the district in which it is located.

The proposed use is consistent with the TR-U1 district.

8. When applying the above standards to an application by a community living arrangement, the Plan Commission shall: a. Bear in mind the City general intent to accommodate community living arrangements. b. Exercise care to avoid an over-concentration of community living arrangements, which could create an institutional setting and seriously strain the existing social structure of a community. Considerations relevant for this determination are the distance between the proposed facility and other such facilities, the capacity of the proposed facility and the by which the facility will increase the population of the community, the total capacity of all community living arrangements in the community, the impact on the community of other community living arrangements, the success or failure of integration into communities of other such facilities operated by the individual or group seeking approval, and the ability of the community to meet the special needs, if any, of the applicant facility.

Not Applicable

9. When applying the above standards to any new construction of a building or an addition to an existing building the Plan Commission: a. Shall bear in mind the statement of purpose for the zoning district, and b. May require the applicant to submit plans to the Urban Design Commission for comment and recommendation.

The proposed uses are consistent with the statement of purpose for the TR-U1 district and the surrounding development character.

10. When applying the above standards to an application for a reduction in off-street parking requirements, the Plan Commission shall consider and give decisive weight to all relevant facts, including but not limited to, the availability and accessibility of alternative parking; impact on adjacent residential neighborhoods; existing or potential shared parking arrangements; number of residential parking permits issued for the area; proximity to transit routes and/or bicycle paths and provision of bicycle racks; the proportion of the total parking required that is represented by the requested reduction; the proportion of the total parking required that is decreased by Sec. 28.141. The characteristics of the use, including hours of operation and peak parking demand times design and maintenance of off-street parking that will be provided; and whether the proposed use is now or a small addition to an existing use.

All units will include individual garages and additional off-street stalls are included on the proposed site plan to serve visitors to the existing and proposed units.

11. When applying the above standards to telecommunication facilities, the Plan Commission shall consider the review of the application by a professional engineer required by Sec. 28.143. 12. When applying the above standards to an application for height in excess of that allowed in the district, the Plan Commission shall consider recommendations in adopted plans; the impact on surrounding properties, including height, mass, orientation, shadows and view; architectural quality and amenities; the relationship of the proposed building(s) with adjoining streets, alleys, and public rights of ways; and the public interest in exceeding the district height limits.

Not applicable.

13. When applying the above standards to lakefront development under Sec. 28.138, the Plan Commission shall consider the height and bulk of principal buildings on the five (5) developed lots or three hundred (300) feet on either side of the lot with the proposed development.

Not applicable.

14. When applying the above standards to an application for height in excess of that allowed by Section 28.071(2)(a) Downtown Height Map for a development located within the Additional Height Areas identified in Section 28.071(2)(b), the Plan Commission shall consider the recommendations in adopted plans, and no application for excess height shall be granted by the Plan Commission unless it finds that all of the following conditions are present: a. The excess height is compatible with the existing or planned (if the recommendations in the Downtown Plan call for changes) character of the surrounding area, including but not limited to the scale, mass, rhythm, and setbacks of buildings and relationships to street frontages and public spaces. b. The excess height allows for a demonstrated higher quality building than could be achieved without the additional stories. c. The scale, massing and design of new buildings complement and positively contribute to the setting of any landmark buildings within or adjacent to the projects and create a pleasing visual relationship with them. For projects proposed in priority viewsheds and other views and vistas identified on the Views and Vistas Map in the City of Madison Downtown Plan, there are no negative impacts on the viewshed as demonstrated by viewshed studies prepared by the applicant.

Not applicable.

15. When applying the above standards to an application to redevelop a site that was occupied on January 1, 2013 by a building taller than the maximum building height allowed by Section 28.071(2)(a) Downtown Height Map, as provided by Section 28.071(2)(a)1., no application for excess height shall be granted by the Plan Commission unless it finds that all the following additional conditions are also present: a. The new building is entirely located on the same parcel as the building being replaced. b. The new building is not taller in stories or in feet than the building being replaced. c. The new building is not larger in total volume than the building being replaced. d. The new building is consistent with the design standards in Section 28.071(3) and meets all of the dimensional standards of the zoning district other than height. e. The Urban Design Commission shall review the proposed development and make a recommendation to the Plan Commission.

Not applicable.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Lot 760, North Addition to Grandview Commons, recorded in Volume 60-027B of Plats on pages 137-143 as Document Number 5078598, Dane County Registry, located in the NE1/4 of the SW1/4 of Section 2, T7N, R10E, City of Madison, Dane County, Wisconsin.