

Annie Stewart Fountain

2023 Survey Results & Conservation Report

History of the Annie Stewart Memorial Fountain



- Designed by Frederick J. Clasgens
- Completed in 1925
- A gift from the Stewart family to the Madison Park and Pleasure Drive Association in memory of Annie who succumbed to suicide in 1905
- Located at what was once the entrance to the zoo, adjacent to catalogued Vilas Park Burial Mound Group (BDA-0270).

Past Steps

- 2017 InSite Consulting Architects Report
- 2020 survey conducted by the Greenbush Neighborhood Association
- 2020 discussion with Bill Quackenbush, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer
- 2021 survey conducted by the Greenbush Neighborhood Association
- 2022 Conservation of Sculpture & Objects Studio, inc. (CSOS) hired to produce a conservation report, which includes:
 - Laser scan
 - Ground penetrating radar report
 - Condition assessment
- 2023 Community Survey

2023 Community Survey

- Developed by the Annie Stewart Working Group and CSOS, administered by the City of Madison
- 3 content questions
- Optional email & zip code
- 1,393 responses
- 64 zip codes

	Annie Stewart Memorial Fountain Conservation Plan - Site Pros & Cons								
	Indoor—Pros	Indoor—Cons	Outdoor—Pros	Outdoor—Cons	Current Site—Pros	Current Site—Cons			
	Least expensive option		Mid-range option		Most expensive option				
	Accessible year-	Access days/times	Accessible outside of	Only accessible part	Known location,	Only accessible part			
	round	may be limited to	business hours in	of the year as it will	community is	of the year as it will			
Access		business hours	warm months &	need to be covered	familiar with the site	need to be covered			
			more foot-traffic	during freezing	and have an	during freezing			
				months to prevent	attachment to it	months to prevent			
				damage		damage			
ontext	Opportunity to	Loss of connection	Retains a connection	Removed from	Retains connection	Reconstructing the			
	provide an	to Vilas Park &	to nature	original context,	to Vilas Park and	fountain onsite does			
	expanded exhibit	nature; challenge of		potential loss of	nature as desired by	not honor Ho-Chunk			
	with ancillary	finding a site with a		connection to Vilas	the original funders;	heritage or the			
	historical and	foundation that can		Park depending on	follows best	surrounding burial			
	cultural context	support the weight		site	practices in	mounds			
					conservation				
intena	Protection from the		Site could be	Exposed to the		Exposed to the			
	elements &		selected or built	elements &		elements &			
	vandalism, therefore		with a covering to	vandalism, and will		vandalism, and will			
	minimal		prevent some	need additional		need additional			
	maintenance cost		weather-related	funding for		funding for			
			damage	maintenance		maintenance			

Q1: Which option do you support the most?



Q1: Other (13.3%) Word Cloud

- Leave/retain/retain sculpture/restore/repair/ preserve/original site:
 43 mentions
- Remove/ remove sculpture:
 25 mentions
- Relocate/move:
 24 mentions
- Dispose/tear/ demolish/rid:
 22 mentions

replicated base foundation **OUTDOOR site REPLICATED** private funds sculpture original site sculpture OUTDOOR site site simple base possible Vilas Park land historical auctionsite REPLICATED base Demolish Move Vilas 700 honor Retain restorebase Leave spend dispose Create new replace rid inside repair donate funds Remove new place preserve sell original area Tear location relocate zoo plaque site current Olbrich Gardens simple base indoor Remove sculpture spend money replicated base Relocate sculpture OUTDOOR Retain sculpture original original site simpleuse money

Q2: Why did you select this option?

original location honor Ho-Chunk restoration memorial ^{building} outdoor removed replicated base outdoors retaining neighborhood burial mounds current site perimeter wall Vilas Park location site preserved community enjoy cost meaning indoor peopleplace history time beautiful city park indoorsmoney simple base maintain accessible outside honor keep moved respect public art **Madison** savevandalism important mounds area protect Ho Chunk public love relocated current location original intent Ho-Chunk heritage appreciate indoor site connection nature Least expensive

Q2: Why did you select this option?

Concepts

- Honoring Ho-Chunk/Ho-Chunk Heritage/ Ho-Chunk/burial mounds/mounds:
 231 mentions
- accessible/public/people/community: 155 mentions
- Least expensive/money/cost:
 135 mentions
- history: 121 mentions
- honor/respect: 90 mentions
- memorial: 30 mentions

Locations

- Vilas Park/park/zoo:
 166 mentions
- Moved/relocated/removed:
 184 mentions
- outside/outdoor/outdoors/connection to nature:
 140 mentions
- Current location/original location/current site:
 99 mentions
- indoor/indoors/indoor site:
 97 mentions

Q3: What suggestions do you have for possible funding sources or locations for the sculpture?

entrance zoo private donors Wisconsin Historical indoors funding sources event foundationstate inside zoo base private donations pay Olbrich Gardens fundraising Madison Madison Go fund private keep fund raising tax grants ZOO Citypublic moved museum within zoo art grants Attic Angels donations Vilas Park private funding history mental health neighborhood community Relocate support public funds organizations Historical Society businesses public art zoo entrance city funding suicide prevention contributions

Q3: What suggestions do you have for possible funding sources or locations for the sculpture?

Funding

- donors/donations/ private donors/go fund me/private donations/contributions/private funding/private fundraising:
 253 mentions
- city/tax/city funding/public funds:
 170 mentions
- grants: 70 mentions
- Suicide prevention/mental health: **51 mentions**
- Attic Angels: 26 mentions

Locations

- Vilas Park/Vilas Zoo/zoo/within zoo/inside zoo/entrance zoo/zoo entrance:
 286 mentions
- public/park/public park:
 122 mentions
- Wisconsin Historical Society/Historical Society:
 46 mentions
- Olbrich Gardens: 39 mentions

Condition Assessment

Presented at the August 29, 2022 community meeting

- 1. Extensive cracking
- 2. Spalls & areas of loss
- 3. Patches, coatings, added materials
- 4. Vegetation & bio growth
- 5. Discoloration & deposits
- 6. Corrosion of internal steel



Condition Assessment Findings

Based on the amount of distress observed and the interrelationship of the distress conditions, CSOS concluded that the pedestal and the concrete lower bowl are beyond reasonable repair.

MINIMAL REPAIRS/IN PLACE	MINIMAL REPAIR/RELOCATE OUTDOORS	MINIMAL REPAIRS/RELOCATE KEY SCULPTURAL COMPONENTS INDOORS
Not, feasible, safety hazard	Relocate marble, clean and install in alternate location on simple base	Relocate marble, clean and install in inside on simple base
MODERATE REPAIRS/IN PLACE	MODERATE REPAIR/RELOCATE OUTDOORS	MODERATE REPAIRS/RELOCATE KEY SCULPTURAL COMPONENTS INDOORS
Not feasible, still safety hazard	Relocate marble, clean, minimal recreations, install on new base mimicking the original (granite or limestone). No perimeter wall.	Relocate marble, clean, minimal recreations, install inside on new simple base
THOROUGH REPAIRS/IN	THOROUGH REPAIR/RELOCATE	THOROUGH REPAIRS/RELOCATE KEY
PLACE	OUTDOORS	SCULPTURAL COMPONENTS INDOORS
"Repair" not feasible, require total reconstruction.	Above, with recreation of concrete perimeter wall.	Relocate marble, clean, minimal recreations, install inside on new base mimicking the original (granite or limestone). No perimeter wall.

CSOS Matrix & Recommendations

Based on feedback from community meetings, surveys, and conservation analysis, CSOS recommends:

1. Retain the original marble sculpture, conserve it, and move it to an interior location where it can be available to the public for viewing (est. \$175,000-\$305,000).

2. Create a replica using the provided laser scan information. Locate this replica at the entrance of the Henry Vilas Zoo at Vilas Park to reestablish this historical connection (est. \$697,000).

Г		Annie Stewart Memorial Fountain - Repair Matrix							
			*						
		Repair In Place	Relocate Indoors	Relocate Outdoors					
		Not, feasible, safety hazard	Remove marble, clean, simple base,	Remove marble, clean, simple base,					
	>		install indoors	install outdoors					
	Ain								
	in		\$90k dismantle, transport marble	\$90k dismantle, transport marble					
	Minimal Repairs		\$10-15k cleaning	\$10-15k cleaning					
	Rej		\$25-35k new simple base	\$25-35k new simple base					
	pair		No foundation if floor supports load	\$15k new foundation					
	S		\$50-70k reinstallation	\$50-70k reinstallation					
			Total: \$175-210k	Total: \$190-225k					
		Not, feasible, safety hazard	Remove marble, clean, <u>minimal</u>	Remove marble, clean, <u>minimal</u>					
			recreations, simple base,	recreations, replicate stone base,					
	\leq		install indoors	install outdoors					
	od								
	Moderate Repairs		\$90k dismantle, transport marble	\$90k dismantle, transport marble					
	tel		\$10-15k cleaning	\$10-15k cleaning					
	Ref		\$25-35k recreate marble loss	\$25-35k recreate marble loss					
	Dair		\$25-35k new simple base	up to \$100k limestone base					
	s.		No foundation if floor supports load	\$15-20k new foundation					
			\$50-70k reinstallation	\$50-70k reinstallation					
			Total: \$200-245k	Total: \$290-330k					
		"Repair" not feasible, would	Remove marble, clean, <u>all recreations</u> ,	Remove marble, clean, <u>all recreations</u> ,					
		require rebuilding - see two	replicate stone base, install indoors	replicate stone base and <u>concrete</u>					
	Т	cells to the right for cost.		perimeter wall, install outdoors					
	hoi		\$90k dismantle, transport marble						
	no.		\$10-15k cleaning	\$90k dismantle, transport marble					
	Thorough Repairs*		\$25-30k recreate marble loss	\$10-15k cleaning					
	Re		up to \$100k limestone base	\$25-30k recreate marble loss					
	pai		no perimeter	up to \$100k limestone base					
	*s		No foundation if floor supports load	\$50-70k concrete perimeter					
			\$50-70k reinstallation	\$25-30k new foundation					
			T + 1 \$275 2051	\$50-70k reinstallation					
			Total: \$275-305k	Total: \$350-405k					

Next Steps

The CSOS report & matrix are only a starting point. The final decision for the future of the fountain does not necessarily need to be one of the recommended options.

- Establish public will & capacity through email comments & community meetings (next meeting <u>July 31, 2023 at 7:00 pm</u>)
- Staff reports & recommendations (Preservation Planner, Parks, etc.)
- Madison Arts Commission reviews reports, survey results, public comments, etc. and makes a recommendation (public meeting)
- MAC recommendation is reviewed by various commissions and committees and ultimately voted on by Common Council
- Coordinate efforts with Annie Stewart Fountain Working Group

Email questions & comments to <u>madisonarts@cityofmadison.com</u>

Frequently Asked Questions

• Has the decision already been made? No. We will host another community meeting July 31, 2023 at 7:00 pm to discuss next steps. At this time, almost anything is possible. The public can provide input <u>via email</u> and by attending public meetings.

- What is non-negotiable at this time?
 1) Doing nothing and leaving it to further deteriorate & 2) Returning it to a functional fountain
- Why didn't the survey ask about leaving it as is (doing nothing)? CSOS determined that due to the condition of the sculpture leaving it to further deteriorate is not an option. The City has a responsibility to care for the public art collection, and there are concerns about it posing a safety hazard.
- Where did the idea for a replica come from (it is not in the matrix)? The 2023 survey results revealed community interest in recreating the original and situating it near the entrance to the zoo, in order to retain this historical connection. This was not an option proposed on the original matrix.
- What will happen to the tritons in storage? We do not have any components in storage. All the City has is what is on the site. The tritons that we know previously existed are missing, and presumed stolen/lost.
- What will happen with any material left behind on the site? If the sculpture is moved, any concrete or limestone left above the foundation pad will be removed and disposed of following city policies & procedures. Any further ground disturbing activities will be determined in consultation with tribal leaders and the Wisconsin Historical Society.