Budget Overivew

Agency Budget by Fund

	2018 Actual	2019 Adopted	2019 Actual	2020 Adopted	2021 C2C	2021 Request
Golf Courses	3,323,661	3,312,981	3,133,012	3,370,320	3,366,422	3,307,654
TOTAL	\$ 3.323.661	\$ 3.312.981	\$ 3.133.012	\$ 3,370,320	\$ 3,366,422	\$ 3,307,654

Agency Budget by Service

		2018 Actual	20)19 Adopted	2	019 Actual	20	20 Adopted	- 4	2021 C2C	20	21 Request
	Golf Operations	3,323,661		3,312,981		3,133,012		3,370,320		3,366,422		3,307,654
•	TOTAL	\$ 3.323.661	Ś	3.312.981	Ś	3.133.012	Ś	3.370.320	Ś	3.366.422	Ś	3.307.654

Agency Budget by Major-Revenue

	2018 Actual	2019 Adopted	2019 Actuals	2020 Adopted	2021 C2C	2021 Request
Charges For Services	(2,435,189)	(3,173,640)	(2,729,105)	(3,176,709)	(3,176,709)	(3,259,654)
Investments & Other Contributions	-	(966)	-	-	-	-
Misc Revenue	(19,627)	(26,900)	(40,041)	(48,000)	(48,000)	(48,000)
Other Financing Source	(863,320)	(111,475)	(363,867)	(145,611)	-	-
Transfer In	(5,525)	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL	(3,323,661)	(3,312,981)	(3,133,012)	(3,370,320)	(3,224,709)	(3,307,654)

Agency Budget by Major-Expenses

	2018 Actual	2019 Adopted	2019 Actuals	2020 Adopted	2021 C2C	2021 Request
Salaries	1,325,355	1,400,934	1,337,091	1,462,119	1,484,752	1,468,906
Benefits	341,839	242,333	391,479	265,868	270,893	270,893
Supplies	549,026	599,100	476,366	599,600	599,600	564,766
Purchased Services	456,236	493,973	424,930	475,321	474,649	471,561
Debt & Other Financing	213,652	46,352	33,107	46,348	46,348	251,348
Inter Depart Charges	239,539	320,289	273,791	311,064	280,180	280,180
Inter Depart Billing	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfer Out	198,015	210,000	196,250	210,000	210,000	-
TOTAL	\$ 3,323,661	\$ 3,312,981	\$ 3,133,012	\$ 3,370,320	\$ 3,366,422	\$ 3,307,654

TO: David Schmiedicke, Finance Department

FROM: Eric Knepp, Parks Superintendent

DATE: July 10th, 2020

RE: 2021 Golf Enterprise Requested Operating Budget

The 2021 Operating Budget request for the Golf Enterprise includes a continuation of existing service levels. This budget is balanced on very optimistic revenue projections that are highly unlikely to be realized. In addition, depreciation is not included in the budget request (per the historic, problematic) norm. In sum total, it is very unlikely that the Golf Enterprise can achieve a positive Comprehensive Net Income in 2021. I have not made major changes or modifications to the request from 2020, however, due to the pending final report of the Task Force on Municipal Golf in Madison Parks. Their report is due to the Council by July 31st. Though I am not certain they will achieve that goal, I do think it will be completed in August. The Task Force is contemplating many potential changes and most of those will have a financial impact.

Major Goals

The Golf Enterprise focuses on providing quality, affordable, and accessible municipal golf while covering all costs related to the operation. Though lacking in significant data, Madison's courses are key to promoting affordable golfing opportunities and our courses are used by a diverse group of residents and visitors. Golf plans to work with the Parks Equity Team to incorporate elements of the Equity Action Plan into marketing and outreach strategies in 2021.

Pending final approval of the Task Force's report, it is anticipated that there will be a recommendation to work with the University of Wisconsin to plan and implement innovative Integrated Pest Management practices on at least one course in 2021. This, along with the continued focus on mowing reductions and natural landscape enhancements, are the primary sustainability goals for Golf in 2021.

COVID Response & Recovery

The Golf Enterprise has been working through a significant COVID response since late March. Golf has worked to develop a phased approach for opening that has positioned the Enterprise well in the local golf market as both open and available, but well-managed and a safe place to recreate. Program-wide modifications and changes have been made to enhance safety and reduce touch points. Some of these modifications have been instructive for potential long term adaptation. Others have just driven more cost, such as portable toilets and additional carts to reduce capacity on a cart to one rider. The reduced staffing levels in the early season also contributed to higher than normal overtime rates once the courses were opened.

As of July 8, 2020 rounds played are up 10.3% and total revenue is up 5.1% year over year from 2019. This is certainly a positive, but given the lag in revenue generation due to COVID-related lighter than normal concessions sales and cart rentals, the actual revenue is up around \$74K. This is far from making up the \$500K loss from 2019. We have not yet completed a 2nd quarter projection, but even with reduced expenses from lower staffing and inventory spending, it is highly unlikely that the comprehensive net income for 2020 will be better than a loss of \$400,000. I recognize this will put us in the position of having more debt to manage and require new authorization for an extension of the existing loan from the general fund.

Major Changes in 2021 Operating Request & Summary of Reductions

The 2021 Requested Operating Budget does not include any substantial changes from existing budgeted service levels. The submission for the Golf Enterprise does not include specific reductions. It is anticipated that the Task Force's recommendations will have significant financial and budgetary implications for the Enterprise. I look forward to working with the Finance Department and policymakers on appropriately modifying the budget during the formulation and adoption stages of the process.

c.c. Deputy Mayors
 Budget & Program Evaluation Staff
 Lisa Laschinger, Assistant Parks Superintendent
 January Vang, Parks Financial and Administrative Coordinator

2021 Operating Budget

Service Budget Proposal

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

SELECT YOUR AGENCY:						
Golf Enterprise						•
SELECT YOUR AGENCY'S SERVIC	CE:					
Golf Operations						•
SERVICE NUMBER:						
811						
SERVICE DESCRIPTION:						
This service oversees the opera	ation and maintenanc	e of the Yahara Hills	s, Odana Hills, Mone	ona and Glenway Gol	f Courses, which provide a to	otal of 72 holes of play.
Part 1: Base Budget Prop	osal					
BUDGET INFORMATION						
	2018 Actual	2019 Adopted	2019 Actual	2020 Adopted	2021 C2C	2021 Request
	2018 Actual	2019 Adopted	2019 Actual	2020 Adopted	2021 C2C	2021 Nequest
Budget by Fund General-Net	¢862.222	60	40		20	60
Other-Expenditures	\$863,320	\$0	\$0	\$(· ·	\$0
Total	\$3,323,661	\$3,312,981	\$3,133,012	\$3,370,320		\$3,307,654
	\$4,186,981	\$3,312,981	\$3,133,012	\$3,370,320	\$3,366,422	\$3,307,654
Budget by Major Revenue	¢962,220	\$0	ćo	<u> </u>	\$0	ćo
Personnel	\$863,320		\$0	\$(\$0
	\$1,667,194	\$1,643,267	\$1,728,569	\$1,727,987		\$1,739,799
Non-Personnel	\$1,416,929	\$1,349,425	\$1,130,652	\$1,331,269		\$1,287,675
Agency Billings	\$239,539	\$320,289	\$273,791	\$311,064		\$280,180
Total	\$4,186,982	\$3,312,981	\$3,133,012	\$3,370,320		\$3,307,654
FTEs		8.00		8.00	8.00	8.00
PRIORITY						
	re and Character					~
Describe how this service a		le Element:				
Provide quality and affordable			nts and visitors.			
ACTIVITIES PERFORMED BY	Y THIS SERVICE					
Activity		% of Effort		De	scription	
Golf course maintenance		55%			aintain the 4 golf courses in	excellent playing
					ondition. Irrigate and mow t irways, repair and care for n	
					nd vehicles, provide tee and	
Golf clubhouses		45%		Pr	ovide clubhouses for golfers	s to set up tee times.
		1370		ch	neck in, rent golf carts and p	ay green fees.
					pportunities to purchases co ars and golf accessories at th	
■ Insert item						
SERVICE BUDGET CHANGE	s					
Service Impact						
What is the proposed ch			continue to agenc	y request?	58768	
2021 Operating Bud	gei: Agency Reuqe	SIS				227

What are the service level impacts o Revenue decreased by \$58,768	f the proposed funding	g changes?		
Personnel decreased by \$15,846				
	2			
Non-personnel decreased by \$42,92	Z			
Personnel-Permanent Positions				
Are you proposing an allocation c	hange to the FTEs fo	r this service?	No	•
Туре	Fund	Amou	unt	Description
Perm Wages				
Benefits				
Total		\$0		
Explain the assumptions behind the	allocation change.			
What is the justification behind the a	Illocation change?			
Personnel-Other Personnel Spending				
Are you requesting additional persor	nnel spending for non-	annualized pay?	No	•
_				
<i>Type</i> Overtime	Fund	Amou		Description Increase in hourly overtime
	Other			
Premium Pay	Other	(\$4,27	73)	Decrease in potential need to pay premium pay
Hourly	Other	(\$1,26	68)	Decrease in potential need for hourly pay
Total		\$4,31	.4	
Explain the assumptions behind the	requested funding.			
	15 11 0			
What is the justification behind the i	ncreased funding?			
Revenue		2		
Are you proposing a change to the s Yes	ervice's budgeted reve	enuer		
Are you proposing an increase or a of Decrease	decrease to the budget	ted revenue?		
Fund	Major	Amount	D	scription
Other	43, 48	\$58,768		stering concessions, facility rentals, golf courses, fund balance
Other	43, 40	430,700		plied
Insert item				
Explain the assumptions behind the			stoms will b	e offset by reduction in catering concessions, facility rental, and fund
balance.	revenue due to a more	e enicient FO3 sys	stellis Will D	e offset by reduction in catering concessions, facility rental, and runk
What is the justification behind the	proposed change?			
-	· · ·	eduction in caterir	ng concession	ns, facility rentals and fund balance applied.
Non-Personnel				
Are you requesting additional non-	personnel funding for t	this service?		
No V	sersonner runumg for t	una aci VICC!		
Fund	Major	Amount	Descript	ion
2021 Operating Budget: Agency	Reugests			228

sert item		53,54, 56,59	(\$42,922)	Decrease in Transfer out to General offset partially by increase in paymer lieu of taxes and supplies, and services.
	ımptions behind the r	equested funding.		
What is the just	ification behind the in	creased funding?		
. Dranged Pu	dget Reduction			
	agency's net budget?			\$0
What is the propo	osed reduction to this	service's budget?		\$0
	would change the acti above. Add a separat			It of implementing the funding decrease to this service. List changes by serv
delivity identified	Activity		mount	Description
	•			·
Insert item				
	Total	\$0		
Explain the chang	es by major expenditi	ure category that you	ır agency would ir	nplement as a result of the funding decrease to this service.
Name	\$ Amount			Description
Personnel Non-Personnel				
Agency Billings				
Total				
TOtal	\$0			
Total	\$0			
Is the City manda			? If so, explain the	e mandate and mandated service level. If not, are there other local organiza
Is the City manda	ted to perform the ac		? If so, explain th	e mandate and mandated service level. If not, are there other local organiza
Is the City manda also involved in p	ted to perform the ac	ties.	? If so, explain th	e mandate and mandated service level. If not, are there other local organization of the mandate and mandated service level. If not, are there other local organization of the mandate and mandated service level. If not, are there other local organization of the mandate and mandated service level. If not, are there other local organization of the mandate and mandated service level. If not, are there other local organization of the mandate and mandated service level. If not, are there other local organization of the mandated service level. If not, are there other local organization of the mandated service level. If not, are there other local organization of the mandated service level.
ls the City manda also involved in p Has this reduction	ted to perform the ac erforming these activi	ties. ior years?		Select 🗸
ls the City manda also involved in p Has this reduction	ted to perform the ac erforming these activi n been proposed in pr	ties. ior years?		Select 🕶
Is the City manda also involved in p Has this reduction Does the propose	ted to perform the ac erforming these activi n been proposed in pr ed reduction result in a If yes, what is th	ties. ior years? eliminating permaner e decrease in FTEs:	nt positions?	Select V
Is the City manda also involved in p Has this reduction Does the propose	ted to perform the ac erforming these activi n been proposed in pr	ior years? eliminating permaner e decrease in FTEs: her agencies (i.e. Fle	nt positions?	Select 🗸
Is the City manda also involved in p Has this reduction Does the propose	ted to perform the ac erforming these activi in been proposed in pr ed reduction result in e If yes, what is the	ties. ior years? eliminating permaner e decrease in FTEs: her agencies (i.e. Fle	nt positions?	Select V
Is the City manda also involved in p Has this reduction Does the propose	ted to perform the acterforming these activition been proposed in production result in a lift yes, what is the direduction impact of	ties. ior years? eliminating permaner e decrease in FTEs: her agencies (i.e. Fle	nt positions?	Select V
Is the City manda also involved in p Has this reduction Does the propose	ted to perform the ac erforming these activi in been proposed in pr ed reduction result in e If yes, what is the	ties. ior years? eliminating permaner e decrease in FTEs: her agencies (i.e. Fle	nt positions?	Select V

Submit

v. 06-01-20