

#### **Metro Rapid Bus Rapid Transit**

#### 30 Percent Design - Downtown October 28, 2021 6:00 pm





(m)





#### Introductions

Mayor Satya Rhodes-Conway

Tom Lynch, Director of Transportation

Justin Stuehrenberg, Metro Transit General Manager

Mike Cechvala, City of Madison Transportation

Melissa Huggins, Facilitator

#### **Consultant Team**

AECOM – Project Lead

**Strand** – Engineering





**Urban Assets** – Community Engagement





# **30% Design Community Meetings**

September 29th – Metro Rapid Progress Update – 30% Design

October 7 – East side focus

October 14 – West side focus

**October 28 – Downtown and UW campus focus** 

Visit the project website for meeting links MadisonBRT.com

# **Zoom Meeting Protocols**

- $\checkmark$  This meeting will be <u>recorded</u> and will be posted on the project website.
- ✓ All attendees should stay <u>muted</u> to keep background noise to a minimum.
- $\checkmark$  Please use the <u>Q&A</u> option at the bottom of the screen to type your question. Questions will be answered at the end of the presentation.
- ✓ If you cannot ask via typing your question, use the <u>Raise Hand</u> option and you will be unmuted when it is your turn

## Recording

#### This meeting is being recorded.

It is a public record subject to disclosure. By continuing to be in the meeting, you are consenting to being recorded and consenting to this record being released to public record requestors.

## **Project Background** Review



# **Bus Rapid Transit**

- Direct routes and fewer stops
- Frequent, all-day service
- Bus-only lanes
- Branded stations & buses
- Transit signal priority
- Faster fare payment





#### **Connects Key Employment Centers to Other Employment Centers and Workers**



# How was the Downtown Route Chosen?

- Initially identified in the 2013 Madison Transit Corridor Study
- 2019 Downtown Routing Report
  - Roughly 31 public and committee meetings
- Adoption of Locally Preferred Alternative
  - March 2020 legistar 59665
  - January 2021 legistar 63184
- Used as the basis for the Small Starts evaluation



#### 2019 Upper State and Square Weekday Access



Metro Transit Boardings

Parking Garage Usage

# **Downtown Routing FAQ**

• Available at: madisonbrt.com

https://www.cityofmadison.com/ metro/routes-schedules/busrapid-transit/brt-downtownrouting-faq



City of Madison / Metro Transit / Routes & Schedules / Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) / BRT Downtown Routing FAQ

#### **BRT Downtown Routing FAQ**

#### Background

The Metro Rapid bus rapid transit system will use the routing through downtown Madison used by most buses today. BRT buses will follow the University Avenue and Johnson Street one-way couplet, upper State Street, and the Capitol Square. The routes will continue east on East Washington Avenue, west on Campus Drive, and south on Park Street.Stations on State Street will be located just before Gorham Street (westbound) and just after Johnson Street (eastbound). Stations on the Capitol Square will be westbound on Mifflin Street just before Wisconsin Avenue and eastbound on Main Street just before Martin Luther King, Jr Boulevard.

#### How was the BRT routing decision made?

The BRT project and alignment has had continuous public involvement and engagement since the <u>2013 Madison</u> <u>Transit Corridor Study PDF</u>, which highlighted State Street and the Capitol Square as the most likely route. The routing through downtown was further studied with public engagement in 2019 and 2020. As of October 2021, there have been 31 public and committee meetings, with another 17 meetings with stakeholders. Overwhelmingly and unsurprisingly we heard that Madisonians want the bus to take them directly where they want to go whether that be school, work, or leisure. This coupled with transportation best practice and special attention to equity and access we delivered the <u>Downtown Routing Report PDF</u>, and ultimately the <u>Locally</u> <u>Preferred Alternative (LPA) PDF</u> that was adopted by the Common Council in 2020 with revisions in 2021 (Legistar 59665 and 63184).

East/West	BRT	Project

BRT Station Design Competition	
Community Engagement	
Environmental Review	
Frequently Asked Questions	
Project Documents	
Schedule Project Staff at Your Event	
Transit Network Redesign	+
BRT Downtown Routing FAQ	

Subscribe to Bus Rapid

**Transit Project Updates** 

# **Downtown Routing Reasons**

- Visible access to economic and cultural center of Madison
- Good pedestrian environment for stations
- Fast and direct
- Some of highest ridership stops
  - Capitol 600,000 boardings/yr
  - Top State 250,000 boardings/yr
- Other alternatives impact transit riders



# **Other Alternatives**

- Less direct routing worse than current bus system.
- Complementing stations 0.4 miles apart (accessible route is 0.5 mi)
- Burden experienced 365 days a year
  - Disproportionately represented by people with disabilities, people of color, and low income.
- With detours, vibrancy of downtown events accommodated without burdening transit riders all year.



# **Economic Effect Studies**

- Increases employment near stations. Employment composition often changes.
- Fosters redevelopment and investment in property
- Increases property values
- Increases transit usage

#### Under "Project Documents" on webpage



Department of Transportation

Nomae Lynch, PE, PTOE. PTP, AICP, Director of Transportation

Madison Municipal Building 215 Martin Luther King Jr Bivd Suite 109 P.O. Box 2986 adison, Wisconsin 53701-2986 Phone: (608) 266-4761 Fax: (608) 267-1158

September 17, 2021

Re: Economic Effects of BRT

From: Philip Gritzmacher, AICP

Though Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) is a relatively new form of high-capacity transit, the travel benefits of this mode are well documented. The economic effects of implementing BRT are becoming more clear as studies on existing systems come to fruition. While every city is unique, this memo describes possible economic effects that the proposed E-W BRT could influence.

#### Impact on Employment

Studies of the impact of BRT on employment were mixed, depending on the level of adherence to BRT best practices. A study of the impact of BRT on Eugene, OR between 2004 and 2010 performed a "shift-share" analysis along the BRT system, which was established in 2007. A number of industries were found to make gains during this analysis period, including real estate, management, administration, education, health care, lodging, food service, retail, entertainment and arts. This is significant because the City as a whole lost positions during this timeframe due to the Great Recession

The Eugene BRT system is considered "heavy BRT," in which most BRT system best-practices were adhered to – one of the reasons that authors speculate this phenomenon occurred. <sup>1</sup> The only use to see an inverse reaction to BRT was manufacturing, which suffered loses within the corridor and gains outside of it. The authors speculate that this is the result of geographic sorting and the redevelopment of former industrial sites into some of the aforementioned uses.

A study of the Independence Avenue BRT corridor in Charlotte, NC, the same analysis found less employment attraction. The Charlotte BRT system is considered "light BRT," and does not contain all of the features of BRT – specifically dedicated stations. The authors speculate that the BRT system has not performed as well as others at attracting investment – and thus jobs – because it did not include many of the features of true BRT systems that demonstrate a commitment to the mode of transportation.<sup>2</sup>

Finally, Nelson and Ganning conducted a study of the job "shift-share" for 13 BRT systems in 10 municipalities. The study examined the change in the number of jobs along the BRT corridors before and after the Great Recession across three wage categories – low, medium, and upper – in an effort to determine the impact of BRT on differing job classifications. On average, businesses located within a BRT corridor were found to produce far more jobs across all wage levels.

1 Anthur C. Nelson et al., 'Bus Rapid Transit and Economic Development. Case Study of the Eugene-Springfield BRT System.' (2013) 2 Matt Miller et al., 'Do TODs Make a Difference?'' (2014)

https://www.cityofmadison.com/metro/routes-schedules/bus-rapid-transit/project-documents

## **BRT on State Street**

- About 60% reduction in bus traffic from 2019.
- All bus service eliminated on lower State Street
- Mostly electric BRT fleet
- 8 of the 10 bus stops on State Street closed
- State Street shelters reduced by over 50 percent
- Buses are detoured during signature events



### Metro Rapid East-West Line

## **Metro Rapid East-West Line**

- 15.5 Miles, 32 stations
- 5 am to 12 am on weekdays with buses every 5 to 15 minutes
- 7 am to 11 pm on weekends with buses every 15 to 30 minutes
- Approximately 83,000 residents within ½ mile of station
- Approximately 110,000 jobs within ½ mile of station
- 4 hospitals and 2 major education institutions



#### **BRT Timeline**



#### Transit Network Redesign



The Metro Transit Network Redesign will design a route system that will better meet the needs of Madison area residents and businesses by increasing access and frequency, decreasing travel times, and improving the quality of transit riders' experience.

#### **Project Goals**



- mymetrobus.com/Redesign
- MetroRedesign@cityofmadison.com





# 30% Design

#### **Roll plots available online:**

MadisonBRT.com/project-documents



#### MadisonBRT.com/project-documents

#### 30% Roll Plots

- Downtown PDF P
- East Towne PDF □
- Isthmus PDF P
- Mineral Point PDF @
- University Ave. PDF 🗗
- Whitney Way PDF P

#### **Station and Shelter Design**

**Renderings are conceptual** 

m Regent

### **Station and Shelter Design**















Renderings are Conceptual

- 1001

Campus Mai

**Renderings are Conceptual** 

Ec

er .....

Edst

Campus


### **State Street Bus Service Changes**

#### Bus stops closed



- Bus volumes reduced from about 620 per day in 2019 to about 370
- Fewer but more frequent routes so buses do not bunch as much
- Most buses on State Street will be electric
- 10 bus stops reduced to 2 BRT stations
- Bus service removed from lower State Street

### Westbound State Street

**Renderings are Conceptual** 

JP Fresh Market

Grocen

14

State Street

Snacks

TRANS OF

### Westbound State Str Exist

**%** Fresh Market

Grocery

12

Snacks

TRUE

l'all

### **Eastbound State Street**

**Renderings are Conceptual** 



### **Capitol Square**

**Renderings are Conceptual** 

## ONLY BUS

35.36

TWOIL Main.



### **Environmental Planning**

## **National Historic Preservation Act**

Section 106 – Historic & Cultural Resources

- Federal agencies must consider effects to historic properties
- A "historic property" is a property or district of properties listed on or determined eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places
- A historic property may be affected if the project may directly or indirectly cause alternations in its character or use (within the project's "Area of Potential Effects")





Proposed State Street Station

Proposed Regent Street Station in the Hill Farms Historic District

# Identified Historic Properties in the Project's Area of Potential Effect

- University Hill Farms Historic District Listed on the National Register
- Bascom Hill Historic District Listed on the National Register
- State Street Historic District Determined eligible for the National Register
- Wisconsin State Capitol Listed on the National Register and a National Historic Landmark
- Dane County Courthouse/Madison City Hall Determined eligible for the National Register
- St. Patrick's Roman Catholic Church Listed on the National Register
- Breese Stevens Municipal Athletic Field Listed on the National Register
- Kleuter Wholesale Grocery Warehouse Listed on the National Register
- Gisholt Machine Company Determined eligible for the National Register
- Madison East High School Determined eligible for the National Register





### Parks Impact – Section 4(f)

#### **Parks and BRT**

- Federal transportation projects must minimize harm to parks, wildlife refuges, and historic properties.
- The BRT project will permanently require 0.27 acres of land from Garner Park to build a new trail along the park's south edge. Temporarily, 0.58 additional acres will be needed for construction.



### Parks Impact – Section 4(f)

### **Parks and BRT**

- The BRT project will temporarily require 0.11 acres of land during construction from Nautilus Point Park to build a new trail along the park's south edge.
- A new connection to the Ice Age Junction Trail will be available at the Junction Park and Ride.
- The following parks will be served by BRT with no changes:
  - Mineral Point Greenway/West
    Towne Soccer Fields
  - Breese Stevens Field



- Yahara River Parkway & Burr Jones Park
- Starkweather Creek Bike Path
- Reindahl Park

### **Parks and Historical Resources Comments**

- The Federal Transit Administration is seeking public comments on potential impacts to parks and historical resources by November 14, 2021.
- Email BRT@cityofmadison.com with "Parks" or "Historical Resources" in the subject line.
- More information: MadisonBRT.com/environmental-review.
- Landmarks Commission reviews Effects Report December 13.

### **Questions and Comments**

Please enter questions and comments into 'Q&A' at the bottom of your screen.

# **Next Steps**

- 50% design Winter 2022
- Station mock-up Spring 2022



# Thank you!

MadisonBRT.com Follow on social media: @cityofmadison @mymetrobus Project Contacts: Mike Cechvala, City of Madison Melissa Huggins, Urban Assets Email: brt@cityofmadison.com