

## MEETING AGENDA

Project:	Vilas Park Master Plan	Location:	Teleconference - Skype
MSA Project No.:	15885004	Date:	May 18, 2020
Meeting Purpose:	State Agency Advisory - ACOE	Time:	11:00 am
Meeting Organizer:	Dan Williams		

## Attendees:

Name	Affiliation	Email	Phone
Kate Kane	Parks Div., City of Madison	kkane@cityofmadison.com	608-261-9671
Sam Woboril	ACOE	Samuel.J.Woboril@usace.army.mil	651-290-5878
Dan Williams	MSA	dwilliams@msa-ps.com	608-216-2066
Dan Schmitt	MSA	dschmitt@msa-ps.com	608-216-2059

## **Action Items:**

- Concepts:
  - Concept A Lagoon east of the pedestrian bridges return to wetland/bog state. Second opening/connection made between Lagoon to Lake Wingra. Some dredging.
  - o Concept B Lagoon converted/allowed to return to wetland/bog state. No dredging.
  - o Concept C Lagoon kept as open water except far east finger.
  - o All Concepts Forebays/Natural Shoreline along lagoon perimeter. Significant dredging.
- Questions:
  - Restrictions on lake fill?
- Cross-coordination with WI DNR.
- Future Meetings
  - Meeting #3 Review Draft Master Plan

Vilas Park Master Plan ACOE Mt. #2 - Concept Review Meeting Agenda May 18, 2020

City Staff Comments - May 11, 2020:

Sally pointed out that the new, additional island in Concept B will likely be prohibited based on In-Lake Fill and Flood Storage Fill rules. I didn't even notice that little nugget when I reviewed!!!

Additionally, I've included the rest of Sally's comments from the Vilas proposals. The majority are things that the DNR will need to weigh in on, or that we've already briefly touched on, but I wanted to send along her basic sentiments.

- Setbacks: Make sure the DNR weighs in on this.
- In-Lake Fill: Some of the plans call for some in-lake fill in front of the new shelters. This tends to be a hard "no" from DNR's perspective, but depending on overall fill and balance of public benefit, they may be ok with it.
- Flood Storage Fill: Just something to be aware of. I love all the shoreline wetlands, which should result in quite a bit of cut, so there should be plenty of room for some fill, but just something to keep an eye on. Also, not a lot of flood storage in this area, it looks to be pretty close to the shore, but again, just something to keep track of.
- Maintenance of shoreline wetlands: be sure we have a clear plan as to who owns these.
- Chapter 37: New impervious areas will need to comply with redevelopment standards and I don't see tallies
  - While this was mentioned in the meeting as something they were to consider with each of the lots, I
    only see stormwater management called out visually on a few of the parking lots.