CITY OF MADISON INTERDEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

To: Plan Commission

Date: January 9, 2006

From: Kathy Voeck, Assistant Zoning Administrator

Subject: 5501 & 5505 Greening Lane, CSM

Present Zoning District: R-1

Proposed Use: Two single family lots

MAJOR OR NON-STANDARD REVIEW COMMENTS (Comments which are special to the project and/or may require additional work beyond a standard, more routine project). **NONE.**

GENERAL OR STANDARD REVIEW COMMENTS

- 1. On Lot 2, provide a front building setback line at the point that the lot is 65' wide, parallel to the two front corners of the lot. (Note: The building setback line will be approximately 85' back from the closest portion of the front property line.)
- 2. The boundary of this two lot CSM does not coincide with existing lots as platted. Provide an overall plan to a smaller scale that shows the proposed CSM boundary and where the inconsistencies were accommodated from or to the adjoining lots by dashing in previous lot lines and adjacent buildings with setbacks where lines were moved (to ensure that lot line adjustments did not make adjacent lots nonconforming).

a o viti a a bier bier

| | ZONING CRITERIA | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bulk Requirements | Required | Proposed |
| Lot Area | 8,000 sq. ft. | 10,929 sq. ft. + |
| Lot width | 65. | (1) |
| Usable open space | 1,300 sq. ft. | adequate |
| Front yard | 30 | Lot 1 existing, Lot 2 (1) |
| Side yards | 1 story 6', 2 story 7' | adequate |
| Rear yard | 40' | adequate |
| Building height | 2 stories/35' | adequate |

5501 Greening Lane

C:\cp pdfs_new\GreeningLn5501_010906.doc

January 9, 2006 Page 2

| Site Design | Required | Proposed |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Number parking stalls | 1 per unit | Lot 1 existing adequate |
| Accessible stalls | | |

| Other Critical Zoning Items | |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Urban Design | No |
| Historic District | No |
| Landmark building | No |
| Flood plain | No |
| Utility easements | Yes |
| Water front development | No |
| Adjacent to park | No |
| Barrier free (ILHR 69) | No |

With the above conditions, the proposed project **does** comply with all of the above requirements.