

**Meeting Summary**  
**Well 7 and 8 Treatment CAPS**  
**February 27, 2012**

**Site #7**

Black & Veatch handed out the Draft memo to the CAP showing project requirements, constraints, and opportunities.

Tom Mallmann presented the existing site 7 plan to the CAP, followed with a slide showing the new well house and reservoir using only the existing water utility property. It was explained that the new well house/treatment system and only a 300,000 gallon reservoir would fill the site and cause the new well house/reservoir to stand out due to its imposing size. The sheer size of the reservoir with its 32' water height would also make the reservoir more expensive to construct. Tom also explained that the well house itself would be undersized by approx. 500 square feet and there would be no room for future expansion if water quality deteriorated. Tom also described the process in which a crane is need to change the well pump and explained that the existing site & new arrangement of the building would make changing the well pump difficult. The general conclusion was that there are too many constraints to building a new facility on the existing site.

Tom then presented the diagram showing the reservoir and well house on the existing property and on the property to the north. This arrangement would allow for a more pleasing form to the building, allow room for landscape to be placed around the building and also allow a 410,000 gallon reservoir to be built. The well house would have 2,500± square feet and there would also be room for a 1,200± square feet future expansion. (2) Parking spots would also be placed at the front to serve the well house.

A sample front elevation was presented to show a general idea of the size of the new expanded well house.

A short question and answer period followed.

Q: What would the building look like driving by, would it fit in the neighborhood?

A: The height would match the surrounding homes. The bulk of the building would be a middle ground between the 3 story Shabazz High school and the surrounding homes and would be a pleasant intermediate mass between the two sizes.

**Meeting Summary**  
**Well 7 and 8 Treatment CAPS**  
**February 27, 2012**

**Site 8**

Black & Veatch handed out the Draft memo to the CAP showing project requirements, constraints, and opportunities.

Tom Mallmann presented the existing site 8 plan to the CAP.

Al then led a picture walk through of the site to allow the CAP to better orient themselves to the challenges and constraints of the site.

Tom then presented the new site plan to the CAP. Tom explained the desire to keep the trees to the south of the well house and the need to keep an area of future expansion in mind in case the Kipp plume continues to expand and VOC treatment is needed at Well 8. Tom also explained the need for a city-wide referendum if the new or future well house would be built off of the existing water utility property.

The next topic discussed was the possibility and location of the Garver Feed Mill in relation to the existing well head. The next topic was what the relative space needs of the Water utility would be in relation to the full Garver Feed Mill Site.

This was followed by a question and answer period. The CAP expressed a variety of questions and concerns about both options. No conclusion was reached regarding which option should be recommended.