

MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 10, 2018

TO: Joe Grande, Madison Water Utility

FROM: Bob Nauta

SUBJECT: Unit Well 15 Modeling Study

The Dane County Groundwater Flow Model ("Model," Wisconsin Geological & Natural History Survey (WGNHS), 2014) was used to simulate the advective travel time from potential sources of perfluorinated compounds ("PFCs") to City of Madison Unit Well 15. The Model was developed and rigorously calibrated and tested by the WGNHS with assistance from modeling experts at the United States Geological Survey.

Perfluorinated compounds have several primary sources, including Teflon, water- and stainresistant textiles and fire-fighting foam. A survey of the Unit Well 15 area by the Madison Water Utility ("Utility") found several potential sources of PFCs from fire-fighting foams. These potential sources are shown on Figure 1. Source points TW1, TW2 and TW3 represent monitoring wells at the Wisconsin Air National Guard in which PFCs have been detected.

At the direction of the Utility, RJN simulated pumping rates of 1,006 gallons per minute ("gpm"), 1,337 gpm and 1,762 gpm. These rates correspond to the five-year (2011 through 2015) average annual pumping rate, the average annual pumping rate (2002 through 2017) and the 90th percentile annual pumping rate (2002 through 2017) for Unit Well 15, respectively. They reflect a range of historic pumping rates at Unit Well 15 and illustrate how the capture zones expand or contract, based on the magnitude of annual pumping.

Figures 2, 3 and 4 present the 5-year, 50-year and 100-year travel times to Unit Well 15 for pumping rates of 1,006 gpm, 1,337 gpm and 1,762 gpm, respectively. Madison Fire Station 8 lies within the 5-year zone of capture for all three scenarios. Wisconsin Air National Guard wells TW1 and TW2 are within the capture zones for all pumping scenarios; however, potential sources more distant from Unit Well 15 have a much more limited capture potential. Additionally, the former burn pit, located west of the airport, and the former Truax Landfill are not within the 100-year zone of capture for any of the pumping scenarios.

Table 1 provides a summary of the simulated travel times from the various sources to Unit Well 15 at the three simulated pumping rates. Although the model was rigorously calibrated and tested, it should be assumed that the potential for error increases with distance from the well,

possibly varying by 5 to 10 years; however, the tabulated data show most of the potential sources to be within the capture zone of the well.

Figure 5 is a plot of the proposed revision to the wellhead protection area. It was developed based on a 1,762-gpm simulation. To set up the simulation, rings of 20 particles were placed around the well. The rings of particles were placed at the bottom, center and top of each layer in the production zone (model layers 9, 10, 11 and 12). The particle tracking model (MODPATH) was run in reverse mode for 1,825 days. The 1,200-foot radius circle is also shown on Figure 5. This distance corresponds to the minimum separation distance between a municipal water supply well and certain contaminant sources specified in Wisconsin Administrative Code Chapter NR 811.12(5)(d). As the figure shows, the wellhead protection area observed by the Utility extends beyond the circle. The only recognized potential source of PFC contamination within the wellhead protection area is Madison Fire Station 8.

Finally, at the request of the Utility, a plot was made of the groundwater flow path between Wisconsin Air National Guard monitoring wells TW1 and TW2, to Unit Well 15, for particles placed in layers 9 and 10. That plot is presented on Figure 6. The estimated travel time to Unit Well 15 from TW1 is 50 years and from TW2 is 35 years.

TABLE

MADISON WATER UTILITY PERFLUORINATED COMPOUND STUDY SIMULATED TRAVEL TIME (YEARS) FROM POTENTIAL SOURCES TO UNT WELL 15

POTENTIAL SOURCE	SIMULATED PUMPING RATE (GPM)			
POTEINITAL SOURCE	1006	1337	1762	
TW1	90	60	50	
TW2	60	40	35	
TW3	Х	75	50	
STARKWEATHER				
CREEK CROSSING OF				
ANDERSON STREET	х	х	95	
FIRE STATION	4	3	1	
FIRE TRAINING				
CENTER	Х	75	55	

X - NOT WITHIN CAPTURE ZONE AT THE GIVEN PUMPING RATE.

FIGURES









MADI	SON UNIT WE	LL 15	FIGURE
POTEN	ITIAL PFC SOU	JRCES	1
DRAWN BY RN	PROJ. No. 15-204	DATE 26 AUG 18	FILE PFC SOURCES
	10-204	2070010	





5-YEAR CAPTURE ZONE 50-YEAR CAPTURE ZONE 100-YEAR CAPTURE ZONE

SCALE IN FEET 0 900 1800 2700 3600 Madison Water Utility

SIMULA	MADISON UNIT WELL 15 SIMULATED CAPTURE ZONES 1006 GALLONS PER MINUTE			
DRAWN BY	PROJ. No. 15-204	DATE 21 AUG 18	2 FILE 1006 GPM	
	1			





5-YEAR CAPTURE ZONE 50-YEAR CAPTURE ZONE 100-YEAR CAPTURE ZONE

SCALE IN FEET 0 900 1800 2700 3600 Madison Water Utility

MADISON UNIT WELL 15 SIMULATED CAPTURE ZONES 1337 GALLONS PER MINUTEFIGURE 3DRAWN BYPROJ. No.DATEFILERN15-20421 AUG 181337 GPM				
DRAWN BY PROJ. No. DATE FILE	SIMULA			
	DRAWN BY	PROJ. No.	DATE	





5-YEAR CAPTURE ZONE 50-YEAR CAPTURE ZONE 100-YEAR CAPTURE ZONE

SCALE IN FEET 0 900 1800 2700 3600 Madison Water Utility

			FIGURE
	TED CAPTURE ALLONS PER N PROJ. No.		4 FILE
RN	15-204	21 AUG 18	1762 GPM







SIMULATED TRAVEL PATH (ORIGINATES AND ENDS IN CENTER OF MODEL CELL) SCALE IN FEET 0 900 1800 2700 3600



0141	R.B.	1	
SIMULATE	SON UNIT WE D TRAVEL PA W2 IN LAYER	THS FROM	FIGURE
DRAWN BY RN	PROJ. No. 15-204	DATE 27 AUG 18	FILE TW TRAVEL